



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 64032

Title: Therapeutic interventional endoscopic ultrasound in pancreato-biliary disorders:
Does it really replace the surgical/percutaneous approach?

Reviewer's code: 05002519

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-09 12:13

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-18 13:19

Review time: 9 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors showed a review article that compared therapeutic interventional endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) with surgical treatment. The article is interesting. However, there are some adjusting points. Major comments: 1. The authors treated percutaneous procedure with surgical treatment in the manuscript. However, I thought that percutaneous procedure was not surgical treatment because the degree of invasion is different between these two procedures. The authors should revise the main text and the title, for example Surgical approach to Surgical/Percutaneous approach. 2. The main point of this article is comparing therapeutic interventional EUS with surgical treatment. However, regarding EUS rendezvous technique, the authors just listed only each concrete method in page 4 and did not show comparing the therapeutic interventional EUS with surgical treatment. The authors should modify the paragraph. Minor comments: 1. The authors wrote "Similarly, high success rate was also demonstrated by another meta-analysis, with higher-rate of overall procedure-related complications (18.04%) in EUS-BD procedure performed in patients with inoperable malignant biliary strictures who failed an ERCP procedure" in page 6, line 1. The authors should replace the words "higher-rate of overall procedure-related complications" with "low-rate of overall procedure-related complications". 2. In main text, there is no word "Figure 1". The authors should write words "Figure 1" in the proper place in the main text. 3. EUS-guided gastroenterostomy/jejunostomy are not common yet. The authors should add these figures.