

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 64137

**Title:** Low serum albumin may predict poor efficacy in patients with perforated peptic ulcer treated nonoperatively

**Reviewer's code:** 00158526

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Slovenia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-23 08:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-03-27 05:54

**Review time:** 3 Days and 20 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The review of the manuscript: Low serum albumin may predict poor efficacy in patients with perforated peptic ulcer treated nonoperatively: A single center experience The authors submitted the nice manuscript about the risk factors and predictors for nonoperative management of perforated peptic ulcer in adult population. Numbered comments: 1. Is the infusion of somatostatin a regular therapy for ulcer patient? 2. What do the authors mean by improvment in patient clinical condition? 3. Is CT of the abdomen standard procedure or is X-ray sufficient to confirm the perforation? 4. Do the authors have some data regarding the outcome depending on waiting time to the surgery in patients with ulcer disease?

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 64137

**Title:** Low serum albumin may predict poor efficacy in patients with perforated peptic ulcer treated nonoperatively

**Reviewer's code:** 02908309

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-25 12:42

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-03 12:23

**Review time:** 8 Days and 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgooffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgooffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I revised the manuscript entitled “Low serum albumin may predict poor efficacy in patients with perforated peptic ulcer treated nonoperatively: A single center experience”

I have the following concerns: 1- It is not clear why the authors choose the nonoperative treatment of patients with PPU? This should be clarified 2- It should be clarified on what bases the authors assigned patients for non-operative treatment and others for operative treatment 3- The authors did not mention if endoscopic closure of PPU was applied or not and why? 4- The base line patient characteristics should include other factors that affect the serum albumin specially Liver disease or Renal disease 5- What is the explanation of the authors that the proportion of patients with serum albumin < 30 g/L was higher in the surgical group?