



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 64137

Title: Low serum albumin may predict poor efficacy in patients with perforated peptic ulcer treated nonoperatively

Reviewer's code: 00158526

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Slovenia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-23 08:59

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-27 05:54

Review time: 3 Days and 20 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review of the manuscript: Low serum albumin may predict poor efficacy in patients with perforated peptic ulcer treated nonoperatively: A single center experience The authors submitted the nice manuscript about the risk factors and predictors for nonoperative management of perforated peptic ulcer in adult population. Numbered comments: 1. Is the infusion of somatostatin a regular therapy for ulcer patient? 2. What do the authors mean by improvement in patient clinical condition? 3. Is CT of the abdomen standard procedure or is X-ray sufficient to confirm the perforation? 4. Do the authors have some data regarding the outcome depending on waiting time to the surgery in patients with ulcer disease?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 64137

Title: Low serum albumin may predict poor efficacy in patients with perforated peptic ulcer treated nonoperatively

Reviewer's code: 02908309

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-20

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-25 12:42

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-03 12:23

Review time: 8 Days and 23 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I revised the manuscript entitled "Low serum albumin may predict poor efficacy in patients with perforated peptic ulcer treated nonoperatively: A single center experience"

I have the following concerns: 1- It is not clear why the authors choose the nonoperative treatment of patients with PPU? This should be clarified 2- It should be clarified on what bases the authors assigned patients for non-operative treatment and others for operative treatment 3- The authors did not mention if endoscopic closure of PPU was applied or not and why? 4- The base line patient characteristics should include other factors that affect the serum albumin specially Liver disease or Renal disease 5- What is the explanation of the authors that the proportion of patients with serum albumin < 30 g/L was higher in the surgical group?