

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 64216

**Title:** Clinical algorithms for the prevention of variceal bleeding and rebleeding in patients with liver cirrhosis

**Reviewer's code:** 04555234

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Research Fellow

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Austria

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-11

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-04-15 04:47

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-22 06:29

**Review time:** 7 Days and 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this review, the authors systemically discussed the screening, diagnosing and treating methods for esophageal variceal bleeding. Some recent articles related to this topic were also cited and discussed. The algorithms for prevention and treatment of esophageal variceal bleeding were practical and reasonable, based on a large number of scientific evidence and data. And it is great to see that the authors discussed and considered the findings of some real-world study , which is important because of the availability of medical resources and the imbalance of development status (eg. early TIPS) between different countries and hospitals. It is crucial for the doctors to choose the best available mehtod to help the patients suffering from portal hypertension and variceal bleeding. However, it would be better if the authos discuss some alternative methods for treating variceal bleeding, such as BRTO in patients with IGV.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 64216

**Title:** Clinical algorithms for the prevention of variceal bleeding and rebleeding in patients with liver cirrhosis

**Reviewer's code:** 04555234

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Research Fellow

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Austria

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-11

**Reviewer chosen by:** Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-06-18 02:32

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-06-18 02:49

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors had revised their article carefully, and informations related to the alternative treatments (e.g. BRTO) had been added. I believe that the manuscript is acceptable right now.