

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 64287

Title: Arthrodesis of the first metatarsophalangeal joint. The “when and how”.

Reviewer's code: 03442364

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-16 11:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-16 11:07

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting and meaningful study, and I recommend accept.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 64287

Title: Arthrodesis of the first metatarsophalangeal joint. The “when and how”.

Reviewer’s code: 00722050

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FRCP (C), MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Canada

Author’s Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-24 14:09

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-24 14:26

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is excellent. However, the authors should add two important conditions that may complicate the outcome, i.e., the diabete mellitus and uricemia. The English is quite good, but I would suggest a professional services because some sentences may be improved.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 64287

Title: Arthrodesis of the first metatarsophalangeal joint. The “when and how”.

Reviewer’s code: 03442364

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: China

Author’s Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-19 12:46

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-19 12:51

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting and meaningful study, and I recommend accept.