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**Abstract**

Biliary tract cancer, comprising gallbladder cancer, cholangiocarcinoma and ampullary cancer, represents a more uncommon entity outside high-endemic areas, though global incidence is rising. The majority of patients present at a late stage, and 5-year survival remains poor. Advanced stage disease is incurable, and though palliative chemotherapy has been shown to improve survival, further diagnostic and therapeutic options are required in order to improve patient outcomes. Although certain subtypes of biliary tract cancer are relatively rich in targetable mutations, attaining tumour tissue for histological diagnosis and treatment monitoring is challenging due to locoregional anatomical constraints and patient fitness. Liquid biopsies offer a safe and convenient alternative to invasive procedures and have great potential as diagnostic, predictive and prognostic biomarkers. In this review, the current standard of care for patients with biliary tract cancer, future treatment horizons and the possible utility of liquid biopsies within a variety of contexts will be discussed. Circulating tumour DNA, circulating microRNA and circulating tumour cells are discussed with an overview of their potential applications in management of biliary tract cancer. A summary is also provided of currently recruiting clinical trials incorporating liquid biopsies within biliary tract cancer research.
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**Core Tip:** Liquid biopsies represent an enticing prospect in biliary tract cancer. In this review, we discuss the rationale, methods and utility of liquid biopsies for predictive and prognostic purposes, including circulating tumour DNA, circulating tumour cells and circulating microRNA. A summary is provided of current trials utilising liquid biopsies in biliary tract cancer.

**INTRODUCTION**

Biliary tract cancers (BTC) are an uncommon group of malignancies including gallbladder carcinoma (GBC), ampullary cancer and cholangiocarcinoma (CCA), the latter anatomically subdivided into intrahepatic CCA (iCCA), perihilar and distal subtypes. Incidence varies significantly by geographical region, with highest rates in East Asia, India and Chile and lower rates in Europe and North America, though incidence is increasing, particularly of iCCA[1].

Management of early-stage BTC revolves around surgical resection, though only 20%-40% of patients have surgically resectable disease at presentation[2], and a significant proportion of patients undergoing surgical resection will subsequently experience disease relapse[3]. Adjuvant chemotherapy with capecitabine has been demonstrated to improve survival[4]. Advanced disease is considered incurable, and although palliative chemotherapy with cisplatin and gemcitabine improves outcomes in eligible patients, median survival remains around 1 year. Second-line chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil/oxaliplatin plus active symptom control has been demonstrated to improve overall survival (OS)[5], though there remains an unmet need for further systemic therapy options.

In future, additional potential therapeutic options may be available in clinical practice in the advanced setting, such as isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) inhibitors, immunotherapy and widespread use of fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) inhibitors. Trials of molecularly targeted therapies and immune checkpoint inhibitors in unselected patients with BTC have suggested that these treatments should be used on a ‘precision medicine’ basis rather than empirically[6].

Molecularly targeted therapies have shown significant promise in iCCA, where tumours harbour an IDH1 mutation in 10%-20% of cases[7]. The phase 3 ClarIDHy trial evaluated the oral IDH1 inhibitor ivosidenib in patients with previously-treated CCA and histologically-proven IDH1 mutations. Although the statistically significant increase in progression free survival (PFS) seen with ivosidenib was meagre [2.7 mo *vs* 1.4 mo with placebo, hazard ratio (HR) 0.37 (95%CI: 0.25-0.54)], 22% of patients remained progression-free at 12 mo[8], and crossover-adjusted OS was recently reported as significantly prolonged (10.3 mo *vs* 5.1 mo with placebo)[9]. Alterations in FGFR have been observed in a variety of cancers including CCA, where the majority of aberrations are fusions in the FGFR2 gene[10]. Phase 2 trials of the oral selective FGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors pemigatinib[11] and infigratinib[12] have shown promise, with objective response rates of 35.5% and 31% respectively in previously-treated patients with CCA and FGFR2 fusions/rearrangements. Based on data from the FIGHT-202 study[11], the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) granted accelerated approval in April 2020 for pemigatinib in patients with previously treated, unresectable, locally advanced or metastatic CCA with FGFR2 fusion or rearrangement[13]; approval is expected imminently in Europe[14]. Phase 3 trials of first-line pemigatinib (FIGHT-302, NCT03656536[15]) and infigratinib (PROOF, NCT03773302[16]) are currently in progress.

Immune checkpoint inhibition, in monotherapy, has been less successful in the treatment of patients with BTC to date; pembrolizumab produced an overall response rate (ORR) of just 5.8% in patients with advanced BTC in the multi-tumour KEYNOTE-158 study[17], which may be related to the low incidence of microsatellite instability high/mismatch repair deficient tumours in BTC[18]. A subsequent analysis of patients (non-colorectal) with micro-satellite instability ‘high’ disease, including 22 patients with cholangiocarcinoma, reported an ORR of 34.3%[19]. Immunotherapy is an active area of investigation in biliary tract cancer, particularly in combination with other systemic or locoregional therapies.

The neurotropic tyrosine kinase receptor (NTRK), implicated in cellular proliferation, *via* the mitogen activated protein kinase pathway[20], has been targeted in patients with a variety of solid cancers. Larotrectinib, an oral TRK inhibitor with activity against a range of solid tumours harbouring NTRK gene fusions[21], was granted tumour-agnostic approval by the FDA and European Medicines Agency in 2018 and 2019, respectively. *NTRK* gene fusions are rarely observed in BTC, with one study identifying NTRK fusion in 1 of 28 patients[22]. Finally, other targets including the human epidermal growth factor receptor, the Wnt pathway and BRAF have been explored in patients with BTC. The ROAR study recently reported an ORR of 51% to dabrafenib and trametinib in 43 patients with previously-treated BRAF V600E-mutated advanced biliary cancer[23]. These targets are found in a minority of patients, however, and require tissue immunohistochemistry confirmation.

Despite the availability of standard and potentially promising investigational agents for the treatment of patients with BTC, identification of such targetable alterations usually requires adequate tumour tissue for molecular profiling. This review discusses the potential utility of ‘liquid biopsies’ in the management of patients with BTC, which may become part of future diagnostic, therapeutic or prognostic approaches and may replace the need for invasive tumour biopsies.

A review of the literature was undertaken following a PubMed literature search for {[(circulating tumour DNA) OR (circulating tumor DNA) OR (ctDNA) OR (cell free dna) OR (cell-free dna) OR (cfDNA) OR (circulating tumo\* cell) OR (liquid biopsy)] AND (cancer) AND [(biliary) OR (gallbladder) OR (cholangiocarcinoma)]} NOT (colorectal).

**Challenges for tissue acquisition in biliary tract cancer**

The anatomical location of the biliary tract and its intimate relations with other key structures present significant challenges in the investigation, diagnosis and management of patients with BTC. Although fine needle biopsy *via* endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) represents the ‘gold standard’ for pathological confirmation of suspected cases, less sensitive investigations such as biliary brush cytology from endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) or fine needle aspiration are often necessary[24]. Percutaneous biopsy, for example of metastatic lesions, may also be used. Some patients may be medically unfit for or reluctant to undergo more invasive procedures, and their limited diagnostic yield (molecular testing failed in 26.8% of 149 samples in one study[25]) may preclude assessment of pathological features such as mutational and molecular testing. Furthermore, BTCs exhibit significant intra- and inter-tumoural heterogeneity, posing the risk that an isolated biopsy from one part of a tumour may not be representative of the biology of the overall disease process in that patient[26]. Moreover, the mutational landscape across multiple metastatic sites may evolve during the course of a patient’s treatment. An alternative to tumour biopsies would be liquid biopsies.

***Existing blood biomarkers utilised in the management of patients with biliary tract cancer***

The only serum biomarkers currently recommended in guidelines for the management of patients with BTC are limited to a small number of assays including carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), routine liver function tests and lactate dehydrogenase[24]. CA19-9, a protein ordinarily expressed throughout the biliary and upper gastrointestinal tract, has been shown to have both predictive and prognostic applications in BTC. In patients with inoperable BTC, CA19-9 levels prior to systemic therapy were demonstrated to be prognostic for OS, with a HR for death of 2.92 for CA19-9 > 300 units/mL[27]. Although it has been studied as a biomarker to detect cholangiocarcinoma in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis[28], the diagnostic utility of CA19-9 is limited by its relative lack of sensitivity and specificity. Furthermore, CA19-9 is not expressed in Lewis antigen-negative individuals (approximately 10% of a Caucasian population). CEA, more commonly associated with colorectal cancer, has limited application in BTC[29,30].

***Why liquid biopsies?***

The term ‘liquid biopsy’, referring to assessment of diagnostic, predictive or prognostic biomarkers usually *via* peripheral blood, has seen a rapid ascendency in research interest in the last five years, with a PubMed search for ‘liquid biopsy’ yielding 61 results from the year 2014 and 1342 from 2020. They present an attractive option for avoiding invasive procedures and potentially assessing a spectrum of DNA mutations from a variety of metastatic sites[31].

**Liquid biopsy in the management of patients with BTC: Horizon overview**

The potential applications of liquid biopsies in the management of patients with BTC are assessment of circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA), circulating microRNA (miRNA), and circulating tumour cells (CTCs). Here, the potential utility of these investigations according to diagnostic, predictive and prognostic applications will be discussed. These applications are summarised in Figure 1.

***Potential liquid biopsy sources in patients with BTC***

The principal attraction of liquid biopsies, namely their relative ease of collection from patients, has unsurprisingly led to the majority of studies being undertaken on samples obtained from peripheral blood. These will be discussed in more detail below. Prompted however by the relatively low yield of peripheral blood for CTCs, Catenacci *et al*[32] investigated paired portal venous and peripheral blood samples in 18 patients with clinicoradiologically-suspected pancreaticobiliary cancer[32]. While peripheral CTCs were identified in only 4 patients, portal venous CTCs were isolated in all 18 patients. Though this process requires invasive EUS, nullifying the benefit of a peripheral blood sample, it may have relevance for further study of CTCs and prognostication in patients with BTC.

Similarly, bile has been studied as a potential source of ctDNA in patients with BTC. A series of 10 patients with GBC or CCA underwent paired bile and tumour sampling *via* percutaneous transhepatic or surgical route, with targeted deep sequencing for 150 tumour genes showing a sensitivity of 94.7% and specificity of 99.9% *vs* tumour sampling[33]. A larger study of 30 patients with confirmed GBC examined paired bile and tumour samples, identifying bile ctDNA mutations in 87.5% of the 57.1% samples with a tumour mutation[34]. The majority of this review will now focus on liquid biopsies obtained from peripheral blood.

***ctDNA***

**Background:** Although the terms ‘ctDNA’ and ‘cfDNA’ are used inconsistently in the literature, ctDNA is here used to refer to the proportion of cfDNA which has specifically originated from tumour tissue, as opposed to physiological tissue. Genomic alterations in ctDNA can be identified *via* polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or next generation sequencing (NGS)[35]. While digital PCR permits highly sensitive analysis of point mutations of interest[36], NGS allows analysis of a large number of genes in parallel, with improved sensitivities achieved *via* novel methods such as capture-based NGS[37].

Analysis of ctDNA in the context of BTC has repeatedly been shown to be feasible and reliable in recent years. An early study by Zill *et al*[38] comparing NGS *via* cell free DNA and genomic DNA from tumour biopsies demonstrated cell free DNA in 16/26 patients with advanced BTC, with 92.3% sensitivity and 100% specificity for five genes[38]. A 2017 study measuring cfDNA *via* quantitative PCR in 34 patients with GBC successfully distinguished patients with GBC from those with cholecystitis and healthy controls[39]. Larger, more recent studies have achieved similarly successful results, with Mody *et al*[35] identifying clinically relevant mutations in 55% of 138 (unpaired) ctDNA samples from patients with BTC[35].

**Diagnostic application of ctDNA in patients with biliary tract cancer:** The standard of care cytological investigations for suspected BTC are ERCP or EUS[24]. These procedures may be technically difficult or precluded by comorbidity, and so a non-invasive diagnostic test is an attractive prospect. A study of ctDNA obtained by PCR from 34 patients with GBC and 39 controls (including 22 with cholecystitis) demonstrated significantly higher ctDNA levels in the malignant group, with levels also correlating with tumour/node/metastasis status[39]. Differential ctDNA gene methylation has been explored as an adjunctive tool in differentiating malignant from benign biliary tract disease. One study quantified methylation of opioid-binding protein/cell adhesion molecule (OPCML), homeobox A9 (HOXA9) and HOXD9 in serum ctDNA in 40 patients with CCA *vs* 40 with benign disease, including cholecystitis, cholangitis and papillary adenoma, among others[40]. The methylation level of OPCML and HOXD9 was significantly higher in the malignant group than the benign group, with area under curve (AUC) 0.850 and 0.789 respectively, while HOXA9 showed no significant difference.

**Predictive application of ctDNA in patients with biliary tract cancer:** Given the limited existing cytotoxic treatment options for advanced BTC and the relatively high rates of targetable mutations, particularly in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, liquid biopsies present a significant opportunity for improving patient outcomes as predictive biomarkers for PFS, OS, response and toxicity.

While the ClarIDHy trial required inclusion of patients with histological confirmation of IDH1 mutations, a recent post-hoc analysis demonstrated that IDH1 mutation detection *via* plasma ctDNA PCR was feasible, with a concordance of 92% with tumour tissue[7]. Longitudinal plasma clearance of IDH1 mutation, defined as variant allele frequency (VAF) below the assay’s sensitivity, was observed in a subset of patients who responded to ivosidenib. Another recent study demonstrated concordance in 6/6 paired tissue and ctDNA samples for patients with IDH1 mutation and FGFR2 alteration[25]. Liquid biopsies have also been employed to confirm resistance to FGFR inhibitor therapy in patients with advanced, FGFR-fusion positive CCA *via* FGFR2 multiple recurrent point mutations detected on cfDNA, and confirmed on tumour biopsy[41]. These mutations were subsequently used to predict response to a novel FGFR inhibitor molecule, futibatinib (TAS-120)[42].

Given the high risk of relapse after BTC resection, a biomarker to aid selection of patients for adjuvant therapies is an enticing prospect. In patients with resected colorectal cancer, ctDNA has been used to identify patients with minimal residual disease and therefore could aid selection for adjuvant therapy, and also to detect subsequent relapse[43]. A study of 11 patients with resected pancreaticobiliary malignancies (8 biliary) was able to demonstrate feasibility of ctDNA detection *via* the Foundation Medicine Liquid platform, detecting ctDNA in 3 of the 8 patients with BTC[44]. A trend towards increased relapse risk was identified in patients with detectable ctDNA after surgery.

Finally, when BTC has relapsed after initial systemic therapy, ctDNA may in the future show utility in matching to an optimal clinical trial *via* molecular profiling *via* a similar process to Okamura *et al*[45] and the TARGET study[46].

**Prognostic application of ctDNA in patients with biliary tract cancer:** In matched ctDNA and tissue samples from patients with locally advanced or metastatic CCA prior to and during first line chemotherapy, Ettrich and colleagues reported that VAF correlated with tumour load, and with worse PFS in patients with iCCA[47].

***Circulating miRNA***

**Background:** Circulating miRNA refers to short, non-coding strands of approximately 20 nucleotides, existing freely in plasma, protein-bound or within extracellular vesicles[48]. In addition to the role of miRNA in gene expression regulation, miRNA has been shown to be differentially expressed in patients with CCA *vs* healthy controls[49].

**Diagnostic application of miRNA in patients with biliary tract cancer:** Studies involving miRNA investigating its potential ability to diagnose BTC have yielded promising results, most notably with miRNA-21, miRNA-26, miRNA-122 and miRNA-150[49]. A study of miRNA-21 derived *via* PCR from plasma samples in 94 patients who had undergone curative or non-curative resection for BTC and 50 healthy controls, demonstrated that miRNA-21 could distinguish malignant disease with an AUC of 0.93 (sensitivity 84%, specificity 98%)[50]. When comparing patients with BTC and 23 with benign biliary disease, an AUC to detect cancer of 0.83 was demonstrated. Similar studies report high sensitivity for BTC *vs* healthy controls with miRNA-21 (sensitivity 87.8%, specificity 90.5%[51]), miRNA-26a (sensitivity 84.8%, specificity 81.8%[52] and miRNA-150 (sensitivity 93.3%, specificity 53.3%[53]. Cheng *et al*[54] observed significant upregulation of miRNA-21 in patients with CCA *vs* healthy controls, but conversely, miRNA-106a was significantly *downregulated* in CCA *vs* benign biliary disease or healthy controls (AUC 0.79 CCA *vs* benign biliary disease; AUC 0.89 CCA *vs* healthy controls)[54].

In a related study, Lapitz *et al*[55] isolated RNA from extracellular vesicles derived from serum and urine in 12 patients with CCA, using nanoparticle tracking analysis, transmission electron microscopy and immunoblotting[55]. When compared with healthy individuals and those with primary sclerosing cholangitis or ulcerative colitis, patients with CCA exhibited a differential RNA profile, suggesting a possible diagnostic role in distinguishing patients with CCA from those with benign biliary disease or healthy individuals.

**Predictive and prognostic applications of miRNA in patients with biliary tract cancer:** A number of studies have reported association between tissue or serum miRNA dysregulation and unfavourable prognosis in patients with BTC[49]. In addition to being a promising diagnostic biomarker, miRNA-106a has shown promise in predictive (for tumour biology, PFS and OS) and prognostic roles. Compared to CA19-9, tumour differentiation, neural invasion, p53 and MUC1 expression, decreased pre-operative serum miRNA-106a expression was the only variable independently associated with lymph node metastases in 103 patients who underwent curative-intent resection for CCA[54]. Moreover, low miRNA-106a expression (taken as level < 1.00) was associated with a significantly shorter OS of 11.4 mo, *vs* 45.0 mo in patients with miRNA-106a level > 1.00.

In another study of 66 patients undergoing curative or palliative resection for CCA (29 stage I/II, 37 stage III/IV) *vs* 66 healthy controls, serum miRNA-26a upregulation was significantly associated with advanced stage, lymphatic invasion, tumour differentiation and metastasis status[52]. On multivariable analysis, upregulated serum miRNA-26a was also significantly associated with adverse PFS (HR 4.226, 95%CI: 1.415-10.321) and OS (HR 3.461, 95%CI: 1.331-5.364).

***CTCs***

**Background:** First recognised in 1869, CTCs are rapidly becoming a valuable but elusive tool in the oncological armamentarium in several solid cancers[56], with utility both in enumeration and characterisation. Conventional epithelial CTC (eCTC) detection relies on positive identification typically *via* the epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM), and exclusion of CD45 positive leukocytes. The ‘CellSearch’ system remains the only FDA-approved platform for eCTC detection *via* EpCAM. Given the relative scarcity of eCTCs, however, with cells detectable in just 17%-46% of patients with BTC, novel techniques have been developed to expand the pool of available cells to include non-conventional CTCs (ncCTC)[57]. Inclusion of this cell population, lacking EpCAM or leukocyte markers, but identified *via* copy number alterations, detected eCTCs or ncCTCs in 83% of 41 samples, *vs* eCTC positivity alone in only 19%. Although only eCTCs were associated with disease-specific survival, ncCTCs were associated with response to therapy. This novel technique offers promise in expanding yields of detectable CTCs in BTC.

**Diagnostic application of CTCs in the management of patients with biliary tract cancer:** Awasthi *et al*[58] isolated EpCAM-positive, CD45-negative CTCs in 25 of 27 treatment-naïve patients with confirmed GBC (5 stage I/II, 22 stage III/IV), with a sensitivity and specificity of 92.6% and 91.7% respectively[58]. Higher cut-off points for CTCs were able to distinguish between disease stages.

**Predictive and prognostic application of CTCs in the management of patients with biliary tract cancer:** Liquid biopsies have been extensively investigated for utility in monitoring treatment response and prognosticating. The phase 2 ABC-03 study randomised 124 treatment-naïve patients with advanced BTC to 8 cycles of cisplatin and gemcitabine with either cediranib or placebo[59]. The presence and increasing levels of eCTCs (detected by the *CellSearch* platform) were strongly associated with adverse prognosis; OS in patients with CTC 0/7.5 mL was 18.1 mo, compared to 10.3 mo (CTC 1/7.5 mL) and 8.7 mo (CTC ≥ 2/7.5 mL). However, a subsequent subgroup analysis of 43 patients demonstrated that change in eCTC level during treatment was not predictive of outcome[60]. A larger, prospective study of 88 patients with advanced CCA similarly demonstrated strong associations between higher *CellSearch-*detected CTC level during first line therapy and adverse outcome[61]. Median OS with CTC < 5/7.5 mL was 20 mo, *vs* 5 mo in patients with CTC ≥ 5/7.5 mL.

Patients with advanced BTC refractory to chemotherapy present a significant challenge in the clinic. Identification of these patients prior to commencing systemic therapy may avoid exposure to potentially harmful treatment, and aid selection for clinical trials. In small cell lung cancer, copy number alterations in CTCs successfully classified 83% of cases as either chemorefractory or chemosensitive[62]; a similar approach in BTC may predict which patients may be refractory to conventional treatment and prompt an alternative therapy strategy.

**Gene alteration detection in biliary tract cancer using liquid biopsies**

Several other mutations have been targeted in small numbers of patients with BTC, including alterations in ERBB2/HER2, TP53, KRAS, PIK3CA and BRAF, though data for liquid biopsies in these small populations are limited. The ERBB2/HER2 pathway is established as an important therapeutic target in a variety of solid cancers. Conventional ERBB2 quantification in tumour tissue is *via* immunochemistry or fluorescence in situ hybridisation, though an assay *via* ctDNA copy number has been validated in patients with HER2-amplified colorectal cancer[63]. HER2 is overexpressed in a minority of patients with cholangiocarcinoma, more so in extrahepatic CCA (8.5%, *vs* 0.9% in intrahepatic CCA)[64] and most commonly (16%) in GBC[65]. There are limited data to support ERBB2/HER2 determination *via* ctDNA in BTC, though Yarlagadda and colleagues reported a case of chemo-refractory CCA with 3+ HER2 amplification (assayed *via* ctDNA and confirmed histologically), who maintained a partial response to trastuzumab/pertuzumab therapy for over 12 mo[66].

A prospective study of the genomic landscape in BTC assessed ctDNA or tissue DNA mutations in 121 patients with BTC[45]. ctDNA was available from 71 patients (67 patients with advanced stage disease). Seventy five percent of patients were considered to have at least one theoretically targetable mutation, on or off-label. The most prevalent mutations were in TP53, KRAS and PIK3CA, identified in 38%, 28% and 14% of patients respectively. Of the 40 patients with matched ctDNA and tissue DNA samples, concordance was 68%, 80% and 90% respectively. Eighty patients commenced systemic therapy following molecular profiling, of whom 43% received a ‘matched’ therapy to an identified mutation (as first-line therapy in 67%). Although the majority of these patients were treated with gemcitabine/platinum (patients with BRCA-associated alteration) or anti-FGFR or -IDH therapies, 2 patients were treated based on ctDNA PIK3CA mutations (carboplatin and everolimus (first-line) and everolimus and lenvatinib (following prior gemcitabine/cisplatin), both achieving stable disease). Additionally, one patient was found to harbour a BRAF mutation in tissue and ctDNA.

**Some current listed liquid biopsy trials in patients with BTC**

Although liquid biopsies in patients with BTC have shown promise in identifying targetable mutations, further study is required before these tests can be integrated into routine clinical practice. Some current listed BTC trials in which liquid biopsies are incorporated are summarised in Table 1.

**CONCLUSION**

Although several novel therapies are likely to emerge in the near future, BTC poses significant diagnostic and therapeutic challenges to oncologists, and prognosis remains poor. Liquid biopsies offer hope of improved diagnostic pathways and easier identification of molecular alterations, thus potentially allowing access to molecularly targeted therapies without the need for invasive biopsies. Further unanswered questions regarding the validity of liquid biopsies for diagnosis and monitoring treatment require research attention. In particular, individual liquid biopsy platforms require independent validation in coordinated, collaborative studies in these rare cancers. Financial and logistic implications of incorporating these novel techniques will also require careful consideration. Liquid biopsies have been shown to have utility in other tumour sites, potentially paving the way for further study in BTC.  Patients with chemorefractory advanced disease present a particular challenge, and identification of this cohort prior to initiation of systemic therapy may aid trial enrolment or alternative treatment modalities.
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**Figure Legends**



**Figure 1 Potential utilities of liquid biopsy in biliary tract cancer.** ctDNA: Circulating tumour DNA; CTC: Circulating tumour cell; miRNA: Circulating micro-ribonucleic acid.

**Table 1 Biliary tract cancer trial list**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NCT** | **Setting** | **Recruitment status** | **Expected enrolment number** | **Relevant intervention** | **Phase** | **Relevant liquid biopsy outcomes** |
| NCT04561453 | Resected CCA/GBC | Recruiting | 20 | ctDNA monitoring (interval not stated) | NA | Success rate in obtaining ctDNA. Predictive value of ctDNA for recurrence and response to medical therapy |
| NCT03377179 | Unresectable CCA, 1st or 2nd line | Recruiting | 105 | ABC294640 + hydroxychloroquine | 2 | Serial ctDNA monitoring during/after treatment |
| NCT04484636 | Advanced HCC, CCA, GBC, pancreatic, gastric or oesophageal cancer in 1st line therapy | Recruiting | 200 | FoundationOneCDx. FoundationOneLiquid | NA | Frequency of targetable mutations. Heterogeneity of targetable alterations in paraffin embedded specimen vs. cfDNA. Number of patients receiving therapies in accordance to their genomic profiles |
| NCT04400357 | Operable eCCA, ampullary, duodenal or pancreatic cancer | Recruiting | 244 | Robotic versus open pancreaticoduoedenectomy | NA | Baseline ctDNA. Effect of operative approach on ctDNA at post-operative day 1-30 |
| NCT03278106  | Stage 3/4 GBC | Active, not recruiting | 28 | Trifluridine/tipiracil following at least 1 line of systemic therapy | 2 | Baseline ctDNA or CTC. Change in ctDNA or CTC during and after treatment |
| NCT04072445 | Advanced GBC | Recruiting | 28 | Trifluridine/tipiracil + irinotecan following at least 1 line of systemic therapy | 2 | Baseline ctDNA or CTC. Change in ctDNA or CTC during and after treatment (frequency not stated) |
| NCT04445532 | BTC (any stage), HCC, healthy controls. Ampullary eligibility not stated. | Recruiting | 450 | ctDNA monitoring during standard surgical or systemic therapy  | NA | Biomarkers of DFS/OS and treatment efficacy |
| NCT03718897 | BTC newly diagnosed by ERCP | Recruiting | 100 | Baseline ctDNA and tissue whole genome sequencing | NA | OS |
| NCT04005339 | Advanced BTC (excluding ampullary) | Recruiting | 44 | 1st or 2nd line fluorouracil, leucovorin, liposomal irinotecan | 2 | ctDNA as surrogate for disease burden. Change in ctDNA compared to CA19-9 (ctDNA frequency not stated) |

ClinicalTrials.gov last accessed 20 January 2021. BTC: Biliary tract cancer; CA19-9: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CCA: Cholangiocarcinoma; cfDNA: Cell-free DNA; CTC: Circulating tumour cell; ctDNA: Circulating tumour DNA; DFS: Disease-free survival; eCCA: Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; GBC: Gallbladder cancer; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; OS: Overall survival.