

Dear editor;

Thank you very much for your encouraging comments. We studied the points you mentioned and tried to correct all points. We will be very grateful if you would re-evaluate the manuscript.

Kind regards.

Prof. Dr. Mustafa Altay

Responses to the comments:

Reviewer:

Comment 1: The authors discuss the combined use of biomarkers and imaging methods in order to allow early evaluation of the appropriateness of treatment and response to treatment about Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs). The article is well written. The issue of the article is within the scope of the journal. A representative and updated bibliography has been used. The results described in the article constitute an important contribution to the area of knowledge, and represent an advance.

Response 1: Thank you very much for your comments.

Comment 2: However, the organization of content presentation should be improved: a) The information must be structured in sections. There should be at least an introductory section, a discussion section, and some conclusions.

Response 2: A conclusion section was added to the manuscript.

Comment 3: The introduction should describe how the literature search was carried out to carry out the review.

Response 3: Literature review strategy has been added to the introduction section.

Comment 4: Some results should be shown in table format in order to be able to compare them.

Response 4: A graph showing the distribution of GI NETs has been added to the introduction section.

Science editor:

Comment 1: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a review of the time to give up traditional methods for the management of gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumours. The topic is within the scope of the WJGO. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors discuss the combined use of biomarkers and imaging methods in order to allow early evaluation of the appropriateness of treatment and response to treatment about Neuroendocrine tumors. It is well-written. However, the organization of content presentation should be improved. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There are 2 tables. (4) References: A total of 147 references are cited, including 23 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There are no self-cited references; and (6) References recommend: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by peer reviewer(s), especially the references published by the peer reviewer(s) themselves. If the authors found the peer reviewer(s) request the authors to cite improper references published by themselves, please send the peer reviewer's ID number to the editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJGO.

Response 1: Thank you very much for your comments.

Comment 2: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Response 2: A graph showing the distribution of GI NETs has been added to the introduction section.

Comment 3: (2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references.

Response 3: PMID, DOI numbers and all authors of the references were added in the reference list.

Comment 4: (3) Please obtain permission for the use of picture(s). If an author of a submission is re-using a figure or figures published elsewhere, or that is copyrighted, the author must provide documentation that the previous publisher or copyright holder has given permission for the figure to be re-published; and correctly indicating the reference source and copyrights. For example, “Figure 1 Histopathological examination by hematoxylin-eosin staining (200 ×). A: Control group; B: Model group; C: Pioglitazone hydrochloride group; D: Chinese herbal medicine group. Citation: Yang JM, Sun Y, Wang M, Zhang XL, Zhang SJ, Gao YS, Chen L, Wu MY, Zhou L, Zhou YM, Wang Y, Zheng FJ, Li YH. Regulatory effect of a Chinese herbal medicine formula on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol 2019; 25(34): 5105-5119. Copyright ©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc[6]”. And please cite the reference source in the references list. If the author fails to properly cite the published or copyrighted picture(s) or table(s) as described above, he/she will be subject to withdrawal of the article from BPG publications and may even be held liable.

Response 4: Dear editor; Table 1 is a general classification of NETs and has not been copied from any reference, but has been prepared by modifying.