

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 64612

Title: Liquid Biopsy Approach to Pancreatic Cancer

Reviewer's code: 05106105

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Technician, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-08 06:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-08 08:03

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

By analyzing the recent published findings on the diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive potential for PC of biomarkers identified in liquid biopsies, you conclude that liquid biopsies offer major opportunities to improve the screening, treatment guidance, and follow-up of cancer patients in general and patients with PC in particular. I have some reservations about this issue. First, the assessment of liquid biopsies are not practicable enough in PC and should be performed in systematic reviews. Second, I'm wondering the evaluation criteria for liquid biopsies in PC was not much enough. Also I recommend that you give a final polish to your typography and language expression to keep your view as concise as possible. Abbreviations should be used in the main text as they have been listed at above. Over all, your aforementioned review contains a lot of information. Readers will find this paper beneficial and informative