



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 64630

Title: ENDOSCOPIC RADIOFREQUENCY ABLATION FOR MALIGNANT BILIARY OBSTRUCTION

Reviewer's code: 05117207

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Switzerland

Author's Country/Territory: Czech Republic

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-04 07:46

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-16 22:57

Review time: 12 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent overview of this subject. One of the main problems is that there is no clear technical protocol how ablation should be done. E.g. power settings, length, repetitive Ablation etc. In addition it is most likely different when no metal stent is in place or not. The authors mention that problem shortly. It would be very helpfull if the authors include the technical information given in the respective papers they have listed in their report. Otherwise no further changes are necessary.