

Response letter

Dear Editor,

Thanks for the reviewers' comments for our submission (Manuscript NO.: 64801, Case Report), entitled " Pediatric temporal fistula: three cases report and a literature review". We responded to the reviewer in this letter and hope the response can satisfy the reviewer and make our manuscript acceptable for the publication. Below is the point by point response to the reviewer's comments.

Issues raised:

- (1) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;
Response: we have revised.
- (2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);
Response: we have provided this document.
- (3) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;
Response: we have provided the document accordingly.
- (4) And the "Case Presentation" section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.
Response: we have revised the text accordingly.
- (5) Three cases were presented in the article, but CT images of 2 cases were included. If available, please add the CT images of the third case to the article, otherwise state why not in the presentation. In addition, describe the CT findings in detail in the captions so that the reader can better understand the pictures.
Response: We have added a new CT and revised the text accordingly.
- (6) The article emphasizes the importance of CT findings in such cases, and cross-sectional and 3-dimensional CT images are used in the article. However, it is seen that among the authors, there is no radiologist who produced and reported these images. Please add the radiologist (who contributed to the creation and reporting of these images) among the authors if he / she contributed to the article.
Response: we have added a radiologist as a new author.