



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 64958

Title: Assessing the prognosis of cirrhotic patients in the ICU - what we know and what we need to know better.

Reviewer's code: 02663375

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-25

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-17 12:20

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-17 18:53

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I'd like to congratulate the Authors for this thorough review. I enjoyed reading it.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 64958

Title: Assessing the prognosis of cirrhotic patients in the ICU - what we know and what we need to know better.

Reviewer's code: 02861189

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-25

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-17 11:11

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-30 23:06

Review time: 13 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I found the review submitted by da Silveira et al. very interesting, pointing the focus on a highly specific and still open problem that may cause over- or undertreatment of patients with cirrhosis in the ICU setting. Therefore I hope to see this review published, aware of the lack of conclusive data on this issue, in order to stimulate (especially in the ICU healthcare professionals) research to better define strong and sound criteria useful to guide the therapeutic effort on this kind of patients. I have some minor points that I'd like to underline to the authors, in order to attempt to ameliorate their work: 1. abstract: I found the abstract somehow double-faced, a quite "light" and dataless summary of the review but with a strong conclusion, maybe even stronger than the one of the review itself. I think that the abstract should be better structured, with some numeric data and I advise to lighten the conclusion, in order to better accord it with the true conclusion of your job 2. In the third line of the "introduction" I hated to read "B and C viruses"!!! Why don't you call them with their proper name HBV and HCV?!? 3. Aware of the uncertain field on which you're walking, I suggest you to avoid too strong words such "hopeless": you are performing a review with data not so univocal to afford you to use such kind of terms 4. About the overview on cirrhotic patients admitted to ICU: I found this paragraph somehow useless and "old"...I appreciate the idea of better define the object of your review but I found it quite verbose and out of focus. I suggest you a shorter analysis of the issue, with more numerical data (in this field good EBM works don't lack) and a more up-to-date bibliography. Then I suggest you to shorten the sections about various decompensations of cirrhosis and to emphasize with high-quality EBM data the section about mortality, not just "long term" but even "ICU mortality", "28-days mortality", "in-hospital mortality", "3-months mortality", "6-months mortality", "1-year mortality" and the respective strong predictive factors 5. I don't know the entity DMOS:



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

can you better define it in your article? 6. I found the proposed algorithm for short-term mortality prognostic scores (Figure 1) too much: I agree with the effort to emphasize and to underline the importance of a dynamic evaluation of the patients, but I think that the available data are nowadays too scarce to permit us to elaborate such an algorithm