

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 65262

Title: Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of the pancreas

Reviewer's code: 05212237

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-13 23:06

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-18 14:28

Review time: 4 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A good description of solid pseudopapillary tumors from the Epidemiology Aetiology Clinical features Imaging Cytology Pathology Molecular pathology Differential diagnosis Prognosis is given by this article, and, it is helpful for the management of Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of the pancreas. It is suggested that more detailed description should be made of the methods of operation, such as the scope of operation, the comparison between open operation and laparoscopic operation, etc.