

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number (Without Figures): 37 Rate: 6.2 CNY per page

Editing Fee: <u>229.4</u> CNY

Figure count: ______ Figure handling time: ______ Min_Rate: 1 CNY per min_

Editing Fee: 0 CNY

XML and PDF converting time: 30 min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: <u>30</u> CNY

Manuscript word count: 11078

Total Editing Fee: 259.4 CNY

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases	
	Manuscript NO.: 65789	
	Column: Review	
1	Title: Gut microbiota in gastrointestinal diseases during pregnancy	
1	Authors: Zhong-Zhen Liu, Jing-Hua Sun and Wen-Jing Wang	[Y]
	Reviewer code: 05021511, and 06044672	
	First decision: 2021-07-03 05:44	
	Scientific Editor: Kai-Le Chang (Online Science Editor)	
	Date of signature: <u>3/1/2022</u> (month/day/year)	
	Editorial Office's Comments	
2	Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a	[Y]
	review of the gut microbiota in gastrointestinal diseases during	



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

pregnancy. The topic is within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Two Grades B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This is an interesting and important issue, and authors provide useful information for readers to understand the role of gut microbiota in pregnancy-related gastrointestinal diseases. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There is 1 table; (4) References: A total of 133 references are cited, including 55 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is 1 self-cited reference. The self-referencing rates should be less than 10%. Please keep the reasonable self-citations (i.e. those that are most closely related to the topic of the manuscript) and remove all other improper self-citations. If the authors fail to address the critical issue of self-citation, the editing process of this manuscript will be terminated; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A and Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by MedE was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct



	was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is	
	an invited manuscript. The study was supported by the Science,	
	Technology and Innovation Commission of Shenzhen Municipality.	
	The topic has not previously been published in the WJG. 5 Issues	
	raised: (1) The authors did not provide the approved grant	
	application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application	
	form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s). 6	
	Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional	
	acceptance.	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I recommend the manuscript to be	
	published in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	[-]
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	L + J
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	[+]
	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific	
	contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed	
	in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by	
7	abbreviated first and middles names.	[Y]
	e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang	
	CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the	
	research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed	
L		



	the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approve the final manuscript."	
8	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial support and includes the corresponding identification number(s) and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	[N]
9	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (<i>e.g.</i> , MD, PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address (including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and contains no spelling errors.	[Y]
10	The Manuscript Tracking information (<i>i.e.</i> , Received, Peer review started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	[Y]
11	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as follows:Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics, Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight: Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor: Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS (no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	[Y]



	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
13	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	[Y]
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J	
	Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
14	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	[\/]
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[Y]
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	[Y]
15	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	
15	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e.,	
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	
	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are	
	described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the	



16	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the	[N]
	study.	
	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
17	the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	[N]
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	
	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	
18	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, $^{c}P < 0.05$ and $^{d}P < 0.01$ are used,	[N]
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as $^{e}P < 0.05$ and $^{f}P < 0.01$.	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
10	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	
19	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	[N]
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
20	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	E2/1
20	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	[Y]
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
	1	



21 The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for original articles in accordance with the specified format. [N] The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1] [Y]	
original articles in accordance with the specified format. The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1] 22 be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	
 style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i>, "Pang <i>et al</i>"). For citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma^[1] [Y] 	
order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1] [Y]	
where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1] [Y]	
number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should22be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	
 citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma^[1] [Y] 	
be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1] [Y]	
reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
(e.g., Pan et $al^{[2-5]}$, please see reference [8]).	
Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	
references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	
each word capitalized (<i>e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi</i>); the name of	
journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
follows:	
<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	
24 [Y] Review: no less than 100;	
<u>Article:</u> no less than $30/26$;	
Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	



25The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	[N]
statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, <i>etc.</i>).	[N]
The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	
26 at the end of the paper (<i>e.g.</i> , P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	[Y]
JL).	
The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
tables (e.g., +, -, \times , \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	
27 consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[Y]
that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; F:	
Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28 graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[N]
diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
29 The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	
according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	
30 the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript	
NoReview; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review).	
The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	
31 Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Peer-review(s)).	[Y]
The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript	
NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	
The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	
32 Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	[Y]
approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	



	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	
	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	
	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	
	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	
	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript	
	NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; e.g.,	[Y]
	870-Copyright license agreement).	
	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	
34	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]
	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	
	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
25	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	[N]]
35	NoAgreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for	[N]
	use of personal photos).	
	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	
36	has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	[Y]
	editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
	A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal	
	content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and	
27	the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via	[]]
37	the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document	[Y]
	contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of	
	journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list".	
	·	



Publication The Figure of the CrossCheck results is saved in JPEG format (jpg) at 1440 × 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the CrossCheck results has been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report (e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure publication of original content. 38 The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing. [Y] The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, +, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. Publication Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language guality)→Final acceptarce and charging
been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report (e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure publication of original content. 38 The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing. [Y] The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, +, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. Manuscript reception and registration—Initial review by scientific editor—Peer review—End of peer review—First round of meeting evaluation—To be accepted—Revision by the author(s)—Second round of meeting evaluation—To be accepted/revised/rejected—Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
(e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure publication of original content. 38 The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing. The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, +, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
Note in the problem of performed to further ensure publication of original content. 38 The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing. The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
38 The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing. [Y] The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
38 [Y] 1.5 line spacing. [Y] [Y] Responsibilities of scientific editors according to the reviewers' comments. Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
1.5 line spacing.Responsibilities of scientific editorsThe primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, +, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
Responsibilities of scientific editorsentire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
Responsibilities grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
of scientific editorsgrammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
editorslegends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be accepted/revised/rejected \rightarrow Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be accepted/revised/rejected \rightarrow Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
Publication control for another content and language quality). Final accentence and charging
Publicationcontrol for academic content and language quality) \rightarrow Final acceptance and charging
process of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific
editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release
of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website-Release of
online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the
author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.