



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Psychiatry*

**Manuscript NO:** 65814

**Title:** Anorexia nervosa: Outpatient treatment and medical management

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 03674017

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** China

**Author’s Country/Territory:** Norway

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-18

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-18 08:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-03-18 23:42

**Review time:** 14 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://www.wjgnet.com**

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This review comprehensively summarised all aspects of anorexia nervosa, which is the most common eating disorders with poor prognosis. I have some suggestions regarding the paper and hope they are useful. 1. The aetiology, epidemiology and prognosis are diverse across populations, cultures, genders and ages. The associated sections can thus expand. The primary prevention of AN is also critical in the aspect of public health and may need some wording in the section of aetiology or an independent one. 2. The section of Lab tests are too wording and may need shortening. All lab tests in the practice are serving the diagnosis, prognosis and treatment of patients. This section thus can be merged into the part of the differential diagnosis, prognosis and treatment. 3. What do the authors think about the MDT model in the treatment of AN?