



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: Artificial Intelligence in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66020

Title: Colonoscopy and Artificial Intelligence: Bridging the Gap or a Gap Needing to be Bridged?

Reviewer's code: 04743986

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FRCS, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-20 06:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-21 09:19

Review time: 1 Day and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Praising authors to write up a review article on AI and colonoscopy, with a lot of original text with appropriate references. However, the writing format / style need to be addressed thoroughly. The abstract / main text has to follow introduction. methods (how review was conducted, what articles were referenced to!, criteria for selection etc), results and conclusion based on PRISMA checks. They have nicely discussed weak and strong AI. There are few complex statements, that a lay reader may not understand , the delivery can be improved.