



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 66034

Title: A simplified figure to present direct and indirect comparisons: revisiting the graph
10 years later

Reviewer's code: 03815857

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-19 17:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-25 08:12

Review time: 5 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Thank you for submitting this letter for potential publication in the World Journal of Methodology. I am of the opinion that the topic is within the scope of this Journal and the research question you addressed is interesting. Language use is satisfactory, and the overall style meets the published standard. Specific comments Core tip should be different to your abstract. Study title accurately reflects on the contents of this paper. The abstract summarizes what the work has described in the text and key word selection is accurate. Biostatistics used are correct reflecting on the authors' earlier experience in this field and references are up-to-date.