



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 66172

Title: Engineering nanotheranostic strategies for liver cancer

Reviewer's code: 05691055

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-22 09:04

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-06 02:35

Review time: 14 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors review the engineering nanotheranostic strategies for liver cancer, including gene therapies and photo-assisted therapies. Overall, this manuscript covers a wide range of nanotheranostic for cancer therapy. The manuscript needs to be improved in writing as follows: Point 1: The authors can summarize more gene delivery vectors in the Gene delivery carrier construction part. Point 2: The conclusions section is inadequate and in fact lacks of a critical overview of pitfalls and caveats of different types of treatment modalities. Point 3: For the high quality of the journal, a highest quality of images and schemes is of great importance. Of note, the labels in Figure 5 and Figure 8 are incorrect and should be revised.