

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Biological Chemistry

Manuscript NO: 66353

**Title:** Neuroprotection by dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide-1 analogs via the modulation of AKT-signaling pathway in Alzheimer's disease

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05207387

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-26 10:29

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-28 01:59

Review time: 1 Day and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for recommending me as a reviewer. The authors summarize here the main features of AD pathogenesis, the regulatory roles of mTOR in AD, and the preventive and/or therapeutic implications of targeting the mTOR signaling with physical activity. This mini review is well written overall. If the authors complete minor revisions, the quality of the study will be further improved. 1. The introduction section of the study is well written. If you describe the background of your study more specifically, you can help readers understand it. 2. Before publication, the authors are encouraged to review the typos again.