

Reviewer #1:

***Specific Comments to Authors:*** This review highlights the structural barriers and attitudinal factors and provides various interventions such as psychoeducation, integrated care and telehealth to promote treatment engagement for pediatric Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder care. The manuscript of good quality citing recent studies. I recommend the publication of this review after the authors address the minor comments listed below.

*Minor comments: 1. Many places have a space before the reference citation and many places do not. Please maintain uniformity.*

Response: We have removed the space before the reference as per journal style.

*2. Page 11: Expand DSM and ICD.*

Response: As suggested, we have expanded both DSM and ICD.

*3. Page 13: The authors should elaborate on “Psychoeducation” section and mention more important findings about the study that enrolled 658 families of children with ADHD (Monastra, 2005).*

Response: As suggested, we have elaborated about the psychoeducation section and has also added more important findings related to study.

*4. Page 15: What was the outcome of basic care in a randomized comparative effectiveness trial is not discussed?*

Response: As suggested, we have added about the outcome of basic care in randomized comparative effective trial by Silverstein and colleagues.

*5. Page 17: Expand MI.*

Response: We have expanded MI.

***(1) Science editor: 1 Scientific quality:*** The manuscript describes a review of the Treatment Engagement for ADHD. The topic is within the scope of the WJP. ***(1) Classification:*** Grade B; ***(2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report:*** This review highlights the structural barriers and attitudinal factors and provides various interventions such as psychoeducation, integrated care and telehealth to promote treatment engagement for pediatric ADHD care. The manuscript of good quality citing recent studies. However, there are some issues need to be addressed. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and ***(3) Format:*** There is 1 figure. ***(4)***

*References: A total of 220 references are cited, including 33 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There are 6 self-cited references. The self-referencing rates should be less than 10%. Please keep the reasonable self-citations (i.e., those that are most closely related to the topic of the manuscript) and remove all other improper self-citations. If the authors fail to address the critical issue of self-citation, the editing process of this manuscript will be terminated; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to [editorialoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:editorialoffice@wjgnet.com). The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJP. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG. 5 Issues raised: (1) I found no "Author contribution" section. Please provide the author contributions; (2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.*

Response: Thank you for conditionally accepting this manuscript. We have addressed the questions raised by reviewer. We have also attached completed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. We have also added author contribution section. We have also attached original figure in PowerPoint format.

**(2) Company editor-in-chief:** *I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Psychiatry, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.*

Response: Thank you for conditionally accepting this manuscript and giving us this wonderful opportunity to contribute on this important topic in your reputable journal.