



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66520

Title: Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided celiac plexus neurolysis in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer: An update

Reviewer's code: 05225448

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-09 06:22

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-15 04:57

Review time: 5 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[] Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the privilege of reviewing your work. This manuscript is well written. I think the authors had a great report. The authors reviewed the efficacy of celiac plexus neurolysis through echoendoscopy in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. 1. Why did not the authors include chronic pancreatitis and biliary tract cancer in their analysis? If the authors reviewed only unresectable pancreatic cancer, I would suggest adding "in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer." to their title. 2. Over all, which technique is the mainstream in EUS-CPN recently? 3. What are the strengths of your paper?