

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 66588

Title: Evaluation of jejunostomy in the palliative treatment of gastric cancer

Reviewer's code: 03270754

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PharmD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-09 11:20

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-19 08:34

Review time: 9 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.This research focused on Evaluation of jejunostomy in the palliative treatment of gastric cancer , after check the pubmed, very important. 2. Table 1 give different variables different points, following which rule ? Have some references cited ?

3.Figure 1 2 not very clear. 4.FOR Of the 363 patients with clinical stage IV CG evaluated, 80 (22%) patients underwent jejunostomy. You get results jejunostomy not benefit for patients was related with only 22% select jejunostomy, have you compare the difference between with jejunostomy patients and not with jejunostomy patients ? 5. English need further polish.