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**Abstract**

Virus-related cancers in humans are widely recognized, but in the case of renal cancer, the link with the world of viruses is not clearly established in humans, despite being known in animal biology. In the present review, we aimed to explore the literature on renal cell carcinoma (RCC) for a possible role of viruses in human RCC tumorigenesis and immune homeostasis, hypothesizing the contribution of viruses to the immunogenicity of this tumor. A scientific literature search was conducted using the PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar databases with the keywords “*virus*” or “*viruses*” or “*viral infection*” matched with (“AND”) “*renal cell carcinoma*” or “*kidney cancer*” or “*renal cancer*” or “*renal carcinoma*” or “*renal tumor*” or “*RCC*”. The retrieved findings evidenced two main aspects testifying to the relationship between RCC and viruses: The presence of viruses within the tumor, especially in non-clear cell RCC cases, and RCC occurrence in cases with pre-existing chronic viral infections. Some retrieved translational and clinical data suggest the possible contribution of viruses, particularly Epstein-Barr virus, to the marked immunogenicity of sarcomatoid RCC. In addition, it was revealed the possible role of endogenous retrovirus reactivation in RCC oncogenesis, introducing new fascinating hypotheses about this tumor’s immunogenicity and likeliness of response to immune checkpoint inhibitors.
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**Core Tip:** An overview of the complex interplay between viral agents and renal carcinogenesis, possibly influencing the course of the disease, the tumor immune microenvironment, the production of new antigens, the host’s and the tumor’s immunogenicity, and, even more, the response to immune checkpoint blockade.

**INTRODUCTION**

Virus-related cancers in humans are widely recognized and listed by the American Cancer Society[1]. The growing knowledge about the role of viruses as a cause of tumors has led to vaccines' development to prevent specific types of human cancers, which effectiveness is often prevented by prior exposure to the wild virus. Viruses known to be directly related to cancer are the human papillomaviruses (HPVs), leading mainly to cervix cancer and other genital or oral cancers; the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), related to nasopharyngeal and gastric cancers, but also Burkitt and Hodgkin lymphomas; the human herpesvirus 8 (HHV8), associated with Kaposi sarcoma; the hepatitis B and C virus (HBV and HCV), provoking hepatocellular carcinoma, and even the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and HHV8, sometimes directly and often indirectly (through immunosuppression) related to a higher risk of developing Kaposi sarcoma, cervical cancer, tumors of the central nervous system and Hodgkin lymphoma[1].

In the case of renal cancer, the link with the world of viruses is established in animal biology, given the cause-effect relationship between the renal carcinoma of leopard frogs (*Rana pipiens*) and the Lucké tumor herpesvirus (LTHV). In 1974, the Koch-Henle postulates between LTHV and frogs’ renal cancer were fulfilled, demonstrating that (1) The agent was associated with the disease; (2) The agent induced the same disease in a susceptible host; (3) The agent was isolated from the induced disease; and (4) The isolated agent was the same agent originally associated with the disease[2]. Then, in 1982, LTHV was found in the primary tumor and metastatic tumor cells in the liver, fat body, and bladder, revealing both by histopathology and electron microscopy that the virus was retained from the primary tumor to its metastatic cells[3].

Given this ancestral link, we aimed to explore the literature on human renal cancer, namely renal cell carcinoma (RCC), to verify the possible role of viruses in human RCC tumorigenesis and immune homeostasis with the host.

In addition, considering the recent advances in the field of systemic immunotherapies, with the evidence of the efficacy of anti-programmed death 1 (PD-1)/programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) and anti-CTLA-4 immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) in the treatment of metastatic RCC (mRCC)[4-7], we postulated the possible contribution of viruses to the immunogenicity of this tumor. While an “inflamed” phenotype characterizes other immunogenic tumors, RCC has been defined as a tumor with a prominent dysfunctional immune cell infiltrate[8]. Its immunogenicity is not entirely attributable to an inflamed status or a high tumor mutational burden, another recently identified element responsible for immune responsiveness[9]. Indeed, enigmatic genomic clusters of RCC have been identified as good responders to ICI-based treatment regimens despite very low mutational burden and apparently non-immunogenic features. This is the case of the so-called “Cluster 7”, characterized by increased expression of small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs), guiding chemical RNA modifications, especially SNORDs[10,11].

The possibility that the presence of viruses in cancer cells could contribute to tumor immunogenicity is already suggested by the outstanding efficacy of immune checkpoint blockade in a tumor well-known to be highly resistant to standard anticancer therapies, namely Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC)[12]. MCC is a rare and aggressive skin cancer belonging to the family of neuroendocrine tumors, characterized by small cell features non-dissimilar to that of small cell lung cancer (SCLC). Despite the well-known unresponsiveness of neuroendocrine tumors to ICIs, recently non-effortlessly introduced in SCLC's treatment algorithm, MCC is counted among the solid tumors which the new immunotherapy has changed history. Unlike other neuroendocrine tumors, MCC is associated, in 80% of cases, with the Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV). The MCPyV small T oncoprotein can inactivate p53 and contributes to metastatic progression.

Interestingly, similarly to Cluster 7 described for RCC, MCPyV-positive cases bear a much lower mutational load, notwithstanding their immunogenicity[13]. Other than an increased neoantigens' production, this immune responsiveness might be due to the viral agent's contribution to shaping a peculiar tumor immune microenvironment (TIME), likely crucial to defining tumor immunogenicity. Recent findings support this hypothesis, showing that MCPyV presence, found in 101/176 analyzed cases of MCC, was related to changes in the tumor morphology, the density of the inflammatory infiltrate, the phenotype of the neoplastic cells, and the cell composition of the tumor stroma[14]. This evidence suggests that the presence of a virus can enhance inflammation within a tumor.

Given the emerging link between inflammation and ICI responsiveness, we hypothesized that a viral agent could contribute to rendering a tumor inflamed, on the one hand shaping the TIME, and on the other hand providing a more considerable amount of non-self-antigens, finally triggering a more potent immune response. In this view, the possible involvement of viruses in RCC oncogenesis and progression becomes an issue of interest, animating our aim to collect and describe evidence supporting this hypothesis.

**LITERATURE SEARCH**

A scientific literature search was conducted using the PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar databases with the keywords “*virus*” or “*viruses*” or “*viral infection*” matched with (“AND”) “*renal cell carcinoma*” or “*kidney cancer*” or “*renal cancer*” or “*renal carcinoma*” or “*renal tumor*” or “*RCC*”. The topics included in the literature selection were viruses in RCC, and RCC in patients with chronic viral infections. The use of oncolytic viruses for therapeutic purposes in RCC was an excluded topic. Other relevant issues close to the topics of interest that emerged from the literature screening were furtherly retrieved, and relevant publications were discussed.

**issues emerged: a three-faced janus**

Our search for possible links between viruses and RCC brought out two main aspects of their relationship: The presence of viruses within the tumor, and RCC occurrence in cases with pre-existing viral infections, both events documenting a potential causality effect. In addition, our multidirectional review unrevealed the possible role of endogenous retrovirus (ERV) reactivation in RCC oncogenesis, introducing new fascinating hypotheses about this tumor’s immunogenicity.

**Role of viruses in RCC: Histopathological findings**

The research of histopathological findings testifying the presence of viruses in RCC allowed the retrieval of five retrospective publications[15-19]. These studies identified the virus within the tumor tissue through heterogeneous assays, demonstrating the viral presence at a rate of tumor specimens ranging from 7% to 30% of the case series analyzed. Contrariwise, the same viruses were present in the respective control specimens (healthy kidney or peritumoral tissue) at a rate ranging from 0% to 4%. Table 1summarizes the relevant data, showing HPV, EBV, and BKV polyomavirus among the viruses identified.

The role of BKV polyomavirus was already known in the field of renal transplants. About 75%-90% of healthy adults are BKV seropositive, but the virus is likely to remain non-pathogen in most cases. Immunosuppressive therapies trigger the reactivation of BKV and graft nephropathy (BKVN) in organ transplant recipients. The treatment of biopsy-proven BKVN consists of the reduction of immunosuppressive drugs. Of note, Neirynck *et al*[17] reported a case of complete remission of metastatic sites from RCC after the allograft surgical removal and immunosuppressive treatment discontinuation, suggesting the key role of BKV in a case of RCC occurred five years after renal transplant[16].

From a different perspective, a critical role could be attributed to immunosuppression. Renal cancer occurs more frequently in renal transplanted patients than in the general population[20]. Considering the non-negligible rate of primary RCC in the allograft and the native kidney of renal transplant recipients, a possible synergy of immunosuppressive treatments and oncogenic viruses could be hypothesized as the basis of renal cancerogenesis in these patients[21]. According to a recent meta-analysis, renal transplant recipients were found to display a higher risk of all cancers, but their standard incidence ratio (SIR) was 10.77 (95%CI: 6.40-18.12; *P* < 0.001) concerning RCC, compared to an all-cancers SIR of 2.89 (95%CI: 2.13-3.91)[22].

Besides BKV evidence in the allograft, the role of this virus might be more extensive in renal cancer, given the significant association (*P* = 0.03) found between BKV DNA positivity of specimens and histological diagnosis of RCC (but not with that of urothelial carcinoma) in a cohort including 50 RCC, 40 urothelial cancers, and 65 non-cancer controls[15]. The levels of BKV mRNA were significantly higher in the RCC samples than in the control samples (*P* < 0.05), and the presence of BKV DNA resulted in a 5-fold increased risk of RCC[15].

The limitations of the studies analyzed, beyond the limited sample size, are represented by the scarce homogeneity of investigational techniques, in the complete lack of validated assays to assess the viral presence within the tumor tissue. In most cases, the viral nucleic acid was detected by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), but immunohistochemical techniques were also explored, with non-consistent results compared to the respective PCR in the same series[19].

Interestingly, a meaningful number of virus-positive cases were found in non-clear cell RCC (nccRCC) specimens, possibly subtending a different contribution in the etiopathogenesis between clear cell RCC (ccRCC) and non-conventional histologies. In the analyzed studies, Farhadi *et al*[20] found HPV in 13 of 26 (50%) papillary RCC specimens, compared to 17/77 ccRCC (22%) in the same series; similarly, Kim *et al*[18] found 50% of RCC with sarcomatoid histology positive for EBV. While the *VHL*-driven oncogenesis is widely recognized in ccRCC[23], less is known about the chain of oncogenic events in the case of nccRCC, a heterogeneous group of tumors with different histopathological, molecular, and clinical features, which are maybe promoted by shared stimuli.

***EBV in sarcomatoid RCC: Is there a virus behind immunogenicity?***

Sarcomatoid RCC (sRCC) is not considered a distinct histotype: Sarcomatoid dedifferentiation is a histological feature found in any RCC subtype, conferring aggressive behavior and a lower likelihood of response to antiangiogenic therapies when compared to ccRCC[24]. sRCC is characterized by the presence of spindle-shaped cells in a varying proportion of the tumor area, accounting for a sarcoma-like aspect, engaged in epithelial-mesenchymal transition and expressing mesenchymal markers. The differential diagnosis from retroperitoneal leiomyosarcoma or liposarcoma can be challenging in locally advanced cases. Nevertheless, opposite to these latter tumors, sRCC has been recently recognized as a highly immunogenic tumor, characterized by enriched immune signatures and high levels of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, likely to respond to ICI more than to antiangiogenic therapy[25]. From the molecular standpoint, sRCC exhibits a lower prevalence of PBRM1 mutations and angiogenesis markers, frequent CDKN2A/B alterations, and increased PD-L1 expression[26]. These findings have been applied to molecularly stratify patients, justifying improved outcomes of sarcomatoid tumors to checkpoint blockade *vs* antiangiogenics alone in first-line trials with ICI-based combinations, recently pooled in a meta-analysis[27].

In one of the previously cited histopathological research works, among 73 RCC specimens, EBV RNA was present in only 5 samples (6.8%)[17]. Curiously, all 5 EBV-positive tumors were sRCC. Considering the sRCC subgroup of samples, EBV-positive sRCC were 5 cases out of 10 (50%). Interestingly, EBV was located exclusively in the tumor-infiltrating B lymphocytes sRCC, clearly characterizing the TIME more than the tumor cells. These findings might suggest a possible contribution of viruses, in particular EBV, to the marked immunogenicity of sRCC, furtherly reiterated by recent subgroup analyses of new ICI-based combinations[28,29].

**ERV reactivation from promoting renal carcinogenesis to predicting immune response**

Approximately 40% of the mammalian genome is constituted by retrotransposons, archaic genic sequences introduced into the eukaryotic genome during the evolution, which can copy and paste themselves into different genomic locations through reverse transcription. Retrotransposons are epigenetically silenced in most somatic tissues and usually reactivated in early embryos. Their silencing is epigenetically provided through DNA methylation, histone methylation/acetylation, and posttranscriptional regulation. Mammalian retrotransposons include non-long term repeats (non-LTR) retrotransposons and LTR retrotransposons, the latter also known as ERVs[30]. Human ERVs (hERVs) are remnants of exogenous retroviruses integrated into the primate genome over evolutionary time. Besides LTRs, hERVs share other genomic similarities to other retroviruses, like *gag*, *pro*, *pol,* and *env* genes[31].Their sequences are not transcribed in mRNA, but they can interfere with gene expression by antisense transcription or premature transcription termination, provide new transcription start sites changing gene regulation, contain regulatory elements on target genes, mediate genomic rearrangement through nonallelic homologous recombination[30].

Recent evidence reveals hERV reactivation in RCC, with LTRs exhibiting *HIF* binding and transcriptional activity in the RCC genome[32]. Some of these *HIF-*bound LTRs may function as distal enhancers inducing the expression of genes representing potential therapeutic targets in RCC.

ERV expression was shown to correlate with histone methylation and chromatin regulation genes in multiple cancer types, including ccRCC[33]. Eventually, ERVs provide an epigenomic mechanism for recurrent transcriptional signatures observed in RCC, suggesting that this tumor's epigenomic landscape might at least partially come from viruses.

Exaptation of promoters embedded within LTRs is emerging as a recurrent element of genomic dysregulation of oncogenesis, previously demonstrated in other cancers such as Hodgkin lymphoma, melanoma, and large B cell lymphoma. Recent research reported the first description of retroviral LTR exaptation in RCC, with distinct mechanisms from previous reports about this phenomenon[32]. Further evidence was provided on pan-cancer datasets by the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA): Using a previously compilated database of 3173 intact, full-length ERV sequences, Smith and co-investigators designed a computational workflow for identifying the expression of specific ERVs from RNA-sequencing and quantified ERVs expression in different tumors[31]. They evidenced that ccRCC contained the most significant number of prognostic ERVs among all cancer types encompassed, with shorter survival in patients with greater mean ERV expression (testifying a negative prognostic value).

As a further crucial step in this field, ERVs in RCC have recently been demonstrated predicting immunotherapy response in ccRCC, as contemporarily reported in 2018 by two independent research groups[31,33].

Smith *et al*[32] identified a signature marking anti-PD-1 responsiveness associated with hERV expression, while a signature for non-responder tumors was negatively associated with hERV expression[31]. They explored the mechanisms by which hERV expression in tumor cells influenced the TIME in RCC, discovering immune stimulation evidence through RIG-I-like signaling of the hERV-induced adaptive immune response through B cell activation. Also, they showed that hERVs mediated the tumor-specific presentation of targetable viral epitopes, possibly adding a trigger to the antitumor response. On the other hand, ERV proteins were already known to be expressed and immunogenic in ccRCC[34-36].

Similarly, Panda *et al*[34] identified 20 potentially immunogenic ERV (πERVs) in ccRCC in TCGA dataset, demonstrating that πERV-high ccRCC tumors had an increased immune infiltration checkpoint pathway upregulation and higher CD8+ T cell fraction in infiltrating immune cells compared to πERV-low ccRCC tumors[33]. Moreover, πERV-high ccRCC tumors were enriched in *BAP1* mutations. As a further step, they demonstrated that the RNA level of specific ERVs (*ERV3-2*) was an excellent predictor of response to immune checkpoint blockade, as statistically significantly higher in tumors from responders compared with tumors from non-responders patients with metastatic ccRCC treated with single-agent PD-1/PD-L1 antibody[33]. This evidence is significant in light of the confirmed poor prognostic significance of πERV-high and πERV-intermediate expression, as verified by the same authors. The validation sample was represented by πERV-high and πERV-intermediate ccRCC patients treated with standard therapy, showing significantly shorter overall survival (OS) than patients with πERV-low tumors [OS, hazard ration (HR) 1.44 (95%CI: 1.06-1.97), *P* = 0.02][33].

These findings suggested ERVs' striking relevance on the immune checkpoint activation in ccRCC, potentially configuring a new biomarker of inflamed tumors, more likely to respond to ICI immunotherapy.

**RCC in patients with chronic viral infections: Is there any cause-outcome relationship?**

Chronic viral infections are often subtended by a dysfunctional immune response, possibly conferring a persistently inflamed status to the host, likely dominated by T-cells exhaustion. Several authors have reported the increased incidence of malignancies in patients with chronic viral infections, and some consistent literature also emerged in the field of renal cancer (Table 2)[37-43].

Chronic HCV infection seems to confer a risk for the development of RCC, according to a cohort study of 67063 HCV-tested patients, among whom RCC was diagnosed in 0.6% of HCV-positive *vs* 0.3% of HCV-negative patients. The univariate HR for RCC among HCV patients was 2.20 (95%CI: 1.32-3.67; *P* = 0.0025). In a multivariate model that included the risk factors age, race, gender, and chronic kidney disease, the overall HR for RCC among HCV patients was 1.77 (95%CI: 1.05-2.98; *P* = 0.0313)[37].

In another report, RCC patients were shown to have a higher rate of hepatitis C antibody positivity (11/140, 8%) than colon cancer patients (1/100, 1%, *P* = 0.01), viremic RCC patients were significantly younger than RCC patients who were HCV RNA negative (*P* = 0.013)[38].

A meta-analysis of seven observational studies including 196826 patients, the risk of RCC in HCV patients was found to increase with a pooled risk ratio (RR) of 1.86 (95%CI: 1.11-3.11). Nevertheless, the association between RCC and HCV was marginally insignificant after a sensitivity analysis limited only to studies with adjusted analysis, with a pooled RR of 1.50 (95%CI: 0.93-2.42)[39].

In HIV infection, AIDS-related immunosuppression could play the leading role in promoting oncogenic events instead of the viral infection itself. The literature simply included RCC in the expanding array of non-AIDS-defining malignancies that develop during HIV infection[42,43].

On the other hand, subtending viral infections could represent the epiphenomenon of a dysfunctional immune status, maybe more likely to benefit from immune checkpoint blockade[44]. In a matched cohort study, data were collected from 174 patients with metastatic ccRCC, chronic HCV infection (case study group), no evidence of other malignancy or cirrhosis, and had received nivolumab as systemic anticancer treatment[39]. HCV-infected patients had significantly longer OS and progression-free survival (PFS). Median OS was 27.5 (95%CI: 25.3–29.7) and 21.7 (20.3–23.1) in study and control groups, respectively (*P* = 0.005). Median PFS was 7.5 (5.7–9.3) and 4.9 (4–5.8) (*P* = 0.013). Despite no differences in objective response rate between groups, patients with HCV had significantly more durable responses (*P* = 0.01). Such findings are undoubtedly suggestive but still largely insufficient to draw a causality relationship between chronic viral infections and immunogenicity.

The report of acute viral infections triggering an anticancer immune response in patients with solid and hematological malignancies is rather than new. From the first observation by William Coley that non-self-agents can trigger antitumor immune reactivity to the recent findings by our research group about influenza infection in advanced cancer patients treated with ICI immunotherapy, the literature emphasizes the role of extrinsic immune stimulation in modulating the immune reactivity and also the efficacy of inhibitory molecules targeting immune checkpoints[45,46]. Even SARS-CoV-2 was reported as able to exert an abscopal antitumor effect in solid tumors: Cases of partial or complete remission during COVID-19 have been reported in patients with melanoma and lymphomas without any anticancer treatment, in the latter cases likely due to a direct oncolytic effect on tumor cells[47-49].

Compared to cancer diagnosis in chronically infected individuals, likely driven by immunosuppression and immune exhaustion[50], the occurrence of viral infections in patients with cancer represents an opposite setting. In this case, the encounter with viral antigens could contribute, as a potent exogenous immunological stimulus, to shift the balance between tolerance and activation, likely favorably influencing the TIME and the complex relationships between the tumor and the host (Figure 1).

***The possible contribution of viruses in kidney cancers with variant histology***

For completeness, state-of-the-art about viruses and kidney cancer also included evidence about collecting duct carcinoma (CDC), rare variant histology with poor prognosis, and challenging therapy[51]. Notably, BKV polyomavirus was reported in the literature as linked to CDC in transplant recipients, again highlighting the role of immunosuppression as the playing field for virus-associated carcinogenesis[52,53].

**CONCLUSION**

The evidence presented above is a tickling proof-of-concept subtending the possibility to add a dowel for the prediction of cancer patients' outcome to immune checkpoint therapy and even more suggests exploiting the immunogenic potential of viruses for therapeutic purposes in the context of anticancer immunotherapy for RCC. Although manipulating viruses could sound like a dangerous game just in the context of the pandemic currently ongoing, teased by striking findings from this preliminary translational research, the authors of the present opinion review still consider the possibility that dangerous relationships may be the most immunogenic, at least in the context of RCC.
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**Figure Legends**

****

**Figure 1 The crosstalk between the virus, the host, and the tumor is likely to influence the mutual interplay between the tumor itself, its immune microenvironment, and the host with renal cell carcinoma.**

**Table 1 Studies about viruses in renal cell carcinoma**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ref.** | **Study type** | **Analyzed specimens** | **Virus investigated** | **Analysis method** | **Positive specimens** |
| **N of cases and tumor type** | **N of controls and tissue type** | **N of positive cases and tumor type** | **N of positive controls and tissue type** |
| Kim *et al*[18], 2005 | Retrospective | 73 RCC (22 clear cell; 18 papillary; 20 chromophobe; 10 sarcomatoid; 3 oncocytoma) | 18 non-neoplastic kidneys | EBV | EBER-ISH and PCRs (for EBNA-1 and EBNA-3C) | 5/73 (all sarcomatoid histology) (EBER-ISH)2; 4/73 (all sarcomatoid histology) showed amplification of EBNA-1 | 0/18 |
| Neirynck *et al*[17], 2012 | Case report | 1 RCC1 | 1 peritumoral tissue | BKV | IHC (for SV40 T antigen) | 65%-70% neoplastic cells | < 1% non-neoplastic cells |
| Salehipoor *et al*[19], 2012 | Retrospective | 49 RCC | 16 non-neoplastic kidneys | HPV; EBV; BKV; JCV | Nested PCR (virus DNA) | 7/49 HPV (5 clear cell; 1 chromophobe 1 mixed type) 0 EBV, BKV JCV | 0/16 |
| Bulut *et al*[16], 2013 | Retrospective | 50 RCC | 45 non-neoplastic kidneys | BKV | Nested PCR (BKV DNA) and RT-PCR (BKV mRNA) | 10/50 (Nested PCR) 8/50 (RT-PCR) | 2/45 non neoplastic kidneys (nested PCR, RT-PCR) |
| Farhadi *et al*[20], 2014 | Retrospective | 122 RCC (77 conventional; 26 papillary; 14 chromophobe; 1 collecting duct; 4 unclassified) | 96 peritumoral tissues, 19 non-neoplastic kidneys | HR-HPV | Nested PCR (HR-HPV DNA). IHC (for p16INK4a and L1 Capsid Protein); CSAC-ISH | 37/122 (17 clear-cell; 13 papillary; 4 chromophobe; 3 unclassified) (PCR). 24/118 (IHC for p16INK4a3) 0/118 (IHC for L1 capsid protein); 18/122 (CSAC-ISH) | 4/96 peritumoral tissues; 0/19 non-neoplastic kidneys (PCR); 16/94 peritumoral tissue (IHC for p16INK4a); 0/94 peritumoral tissue (IHC for L1 capsid protein); NA (CSAC-ISH) |

1Allograft kidney.

2EBER-positive signals were located only in the tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.

3Human papillomavirus capsid protein.

RCC: Renal cell carcinoma; BKV: BK virus; EBV: Epstein-Barr virus; HPV: Human papillomavirus; JCV: JC virus; HR-HPV: High-risk human papillomavirus; Nested PCR: Nested polymerase chain reaction; RT-PCR: Real-time polymerase chain reaction; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; EBER-ISH: EBV-encoded RNAs in situ hybridization; EBNA-1 and EBNA-3C: EBV-encoded nuclear antigen 1 and EBV-encoded nuclear antigen 3C; CSAC-ISH: Catalyzed signal-amplified colorimetric in situ hybridization; NA: Not available.

**Table 2 Studies reporting the relationship between chronic viral infections and the occurrence of renal cell carcinoma**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ref.** | **Study type** | **Type of chronic viral infection** | **Study population** | **RCC histology** | **Mean age (yr)** | **Aim** | **Main results/conclusions** |
| Gaughan *et al*[43], 2008 | Case series | HIV infection | 9 HIV-associated RCC1 | 2 papillary, 1 collecting duct, 6 clear cell | 48 | To describe the risk factors, clinical findings, pathology, and response to therapy in RCC patients infected with HIV | The clinical presentation and behavior of RCC in patients with HIV infection appeared similar to that of the HIV-negative population and that chronic immunosuppression plays a lesser role than age and exposure to risk factors |
| Gordon *et al*[38], 2010 | Retrospective study | HCV infection | 67063 HCV-tested patients: 3057 HCV+ and 64006 HCV- | 17 RCC HCV+: 8 clear cell, 6 papillary, 2 mixed clear cell/papillary, 1 undifferentiated/other; 117 HCV-: 92 clear cell, 43 papillary, 9 mixed clear cell/papillary, 26 undifferentiated/other | 54 in HCV+, 63 in HCV- | To determine whether HCV infection confers an increased risk for developing RCC | RCC was diagnosed in 0.6% (17/3057) of HCV+ and 0.3% (117/64006) of HCV- patients. HCV infection confers a risk for the development of RCC: Overall HR for RCC among HCV patients 1.77 (95% confidence interval, 1.05-2.98; *P* = 0.0313) |
| Wiwanitkit[42], 2011 | Bioinformatics analysis | HCV infection | NA | NA | NA | To assess the cause–outcome relationship between HCV infection and RCC using the bioinformatics network analysis technique | There might be a cause–outcome relationship between HCV infection and RCC via NY-REN-54 (the only one common protein) |
| Gonzalez *et al*[39], 2015 | Prospective study | HCV infection | 140 RCC and 100 colon cancer patients (control) | NA | 56.7 in RCC patients with viremia, 61.8 in aviremic patients | To determine whether chronic HCV is associated with an increased risk of RCC | 11/140 RCC and 1/100 colon cancer patients were HCAB+. Of the HCAB+ patients, 9/11 RCC and 0/1 controls had detectable HCV RNA. In the multivariable logistic regression analysis, being HCV RNA positive was a significant risk factor for RCC (*P* = 0.043) |
| Wijarnpreecha *et al*[40], 2016 | Systematic review and meta-analysis | HCV infection | 196826 patients from 7 observational studies (4 cohort and 3 case-control studies). Individuals without HCV infection were used as comparators in cohort studies, individuals without RCC as comparators in the cross-sectional and case-control studies | NA | NA2 | To assess the risk of RCC in patients with HCV infection | Significantly increased risk of RCC in HCC+ with the pooled risk ratio of 1.86 (95%CI: 1.11-3.11) |
| Ong *et al*[44], 2016 | Case series | HIV infection | 7 HIV-associated RCC1 | 5 clear cell, 1 papillary, 1 unknown | 56 | To report presentation, management and outcomes of RCC patients with HIV infection | RCC patients with HIV infection should be offered all treatment options in the same manner as the general population |
| Tsimafeyeu *et al*[41], 2020 | Retrospective study | HCV infection | 44 mRCC patients: 22 HCV+, 22 HCV- | Clear cell | 62 in mRCC HCV+, 63 in mRCC HCV- | To evaluate Nivolumab efficacy and safety in mRCC patients with or without chronic HCV infection (OS primary endpoint, PFS, ORR and rate of grade 3–4 adverse events secondary endpoints) | HCV-infected patients had significantly longer OS (27.5 *vs* 21.7, *P* = 0.005) and PFS (7.5 *vs* 4.9, *P* = 0.013), no difference in ORR. Grade 3–4 adverse events were observed in 5 (23%) HCV+ patients and in 3 (14%) HCV- patients |

1Human immunodeficiency virus infection before renal cell carcinoma (RCC) diagnosis.

2Mean age not specified, but hepatitis C virus (HCV)+ RCC patients were significantly younger than HCV-RCC patients.

HCV: Hepatitis C virus; RCC: Renal cell carcinoma; mRCC: Metastatic renal cell carcinoma; HR: Hazard ratio; NA: Not available; HCAB: Hepatitis C antibody; RNA: Ribonucleic acid; OS: Overall survival; PFS: Progression-free survival; ORR: Objective response rate; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus.