

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Psychiatry*

**Manuscript NO:** 66637

**Title:** Mechanism of olfactory deficit in neurotrauma and its related affective distress: a narrative review

**Reviewer's code:** 05331130

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Iran

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-04-23 08:58

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-23 09:03

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The work describes Mechanism of olfactory deficit in neurotrauma and its related affective distress. The manuscript is well organized and addresses the topic sufficiently. Figure is suitable for description. The authors are suggested to apply the following comments in the manuscript: 1. Importantly, the authors need to significantly improve the writing. 2. Keywords are not standard. Please match the keywords with the mesh. 3. The aim of the study should be referred in the introduction of the abstract. 4.

The addressed problem is not clear. Give more emphasis on the problems and the gap you intend to fill. 5. Include criteria should be mentioned in the method section. 6. Show the included and excluded articles as a PRISMA flow diagram. 7. Refer to the following articles in the introduction: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32556781/>  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33101547/>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33363000/>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33357637/> 8. The journal framework is not followed. 9. The limitations of the research are not mentioned. 10. Refer to the following articles in the last paragraph of discussion: Mehraeen E, Hayati B, Saeidi S, Heydari M, Seyedalinaghi S. Self-care instructions for people not requiring hospitalization for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Archives of Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2020 Apr 1;15(COVID-19). SeyedAlinaghi S, Afsahi AM, MohsseniPour M, Behnezhad F, Salehi MA, Barzegary A, Mirzapour P, Mehraeen E, Dadras O. Late Complications of COVID-19; a Systematic Review of Current Evidence. Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine. 2021;9(1):e14-.