

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67032

Title: Duodenal perforation after organophosphorus poisoning: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05112366

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-07 01:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-07 02:12

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have the read the manuscript with great interest. The manuscript is well written and very interesting. However, I suggest the authors to make some minor corrections which provide completeness of the article. 1. Background section: Do not use terms such as etc. in the manuscript. 2. Discussion section: Provide the gastrointestinal manifestations of OP poisoning on imaging. 3. Elaborate other causes of duodenal perforation. As references add to the strength of the manuscript, I would recommend citing the below mentioned articles: Donald KJ, Doherty SR, Shun A. Duodenal perforation: an interesting case report. Emerg Med Australas. 2005;17(1):46-48. doi:10.1111/j.1742-6723.2005.00695.x Ravikanth R, Sandeep S, Philip B. Acute Yellow Phosphorus Poisoning Causing Fulminant Hepatic Failure with Parenchymal Hemorrhages and Contained Duodenal Perforation. Indian J Crit Care Med. 2017;21(4):238-242. doi:10.4103/ijccm.IJCCM_410_16 4. Remove the term "Case Report" from Keywords. 5. Figure 1: Mention the sites of pseudocyst as tail of pancreas and lesser sac. Denote the same in the figure by using arrows. 6. Do not use the term "Doctors" in the manuscript. Replace the term with "Clinicians". 7. Conclusion section - the sentence "In the clinic, many factors can induce duodenal perforation." needs to be elaborated / rewritten. Do not use sentences which require further literature review especially in the conclusion section.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67032

Title: Duodenal perforation after organophosphorus poisoning: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05916273

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Nigeria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-08 08:47

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-10 16:53

Review time: 2 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. An usual finding of duodenal perforation from organophosphate poisoning. 2. It is worth reporting as a high index of suspicion is required to diagnose such an unusual occurrence Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent) Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing) Conclusion: Minor revision