

Dennis A Bloomfield

Editor-in-Chief

*World Journal of Clinical Cases*

18 May. 2021

Dear Prof. Bloomfield,

Thank you for your email with encouraging news.

I have read the comments of the senior editor and reviewers. The manuscript has been revised according to the comments. I noted that the comments of reviewer are very positive and no revision is needed according to his/her comments. Therefore, we only response to the issues raised by the science editor. Besides, I have carefully checked the manuscript's format following the author guideline of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. A minor revision was made to correct the format.

All the amendments are highlighted in red.

I wish the manuscript has met the publication criteria of the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

A point-to-point response is listed at the end of this letter.

I am waiting for your reply.

Yours sincerely,

Wen-Qi Zheng

Department of Laboratory Medicine, the Affiliated Hospital of Inner Mongolia Medical University,

Hohhot 010050, China

Email: [zhengwenqi2011@163.com](mailto:zhengwenqi2011@163.com)

Tel: 0471-3451230

Point-to-point response

Science editor comments:

The manuscript describes a minireview of the regulatory roles of extracellular vesicles in immune responses against Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC.

**Response: Thank you for your positive comments.**

Issues raised by the science editor:

- The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s)

**Response: The approved grant application form(s) has been uploaded.**

-The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor

**Response: An editable figure with the PowerPoint format (67043-Figures) has been uploaded.**

-If an author of a submission is re-using a figure or figures published elsewhere, or that is copyrighted, the author must provide documentation that the previous publisher or copyright holder has given permission for the figure to be re-published; and correctly indicating the reference source and copyrights

**Response: The figure in the manuscript is original.**

-----

Reviewer report:

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

**Response: Thank you for your positive comments.**

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Response: Thank you for your positive comments.

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Response: Thank you for your positive comments.

Specific Comments to Authors:

-Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Yes.

Response: Thank you for your positive comments.

-Abstract. Very good

Response: Thank you for your positive comments.

-Key words. Very good

Response: Thank you for your positive comments.

-Background. Very good

Response: Thank you for your positive comments.

-The review is well written and it is a very interesting subject. I believe it can be published in the current form.

Response: Thank you for your positive comments.