



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67431

Title: Three-year follow-up and quality of life analysis of Endu combined with concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy as treatment for patients with stage IIB-IVA cervical squamous cell carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 06086674

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-24 00:22

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-24 14:20

Review time: 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this retrospective study, the Authors analyzed the effect of Endu combined with concurrent radiotherapy and chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced cervical squamous cell carcinoma of 120 patients, providing a basis for clinical selection of treatment for advanced cervical cancer. The study is set up correctly. The material studied allows to draw the conclusions. The paper is written well, the Introduction give a good overview about the study background and the authors raised clearly the hypothesis of the study. The description of material studied is accurate. The aim of the study is fulfilled. The material studied is large enough and allows to draw the conclusions. I have a minor suggestion; the results section should not only be described in the Tables but need to describe the important results in detail. In general, the article provides an essential reference for researchers in this field and the results are interesting and could be useful for other studies. I recommend that the manuscript can be published.