

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 67722

Title: Gastric per-oral endoscopic myotomy: indications, technique, results and comparison with surgical approach

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00030988

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-06 14:56

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-06 16:01

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a good review. However, 1. The author should state in the method session what method is used to capture the studies and what is the criteria to enroll studies into the review; 2. There are many important studies that were missing for example, a. technical aspect: how to identify the pylorus ring at GPOEM: Surgical Endoscopy. 2017, 31(110):4617-4624 b. Comparing the outcome between GPOEM and gastric electrical stimulator: Endoscopy 2020 May;52(5):349-358 (this is the only one such paper, it is a very important paper; c. New technics at GPEOM: Gastrointest Endosc. 2020 Sep;92(3):603-609 (very famous double myotomy paper) d. long term outcome of GPOEM: Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020 May 22:S1542-3565 (this is the only real one on long term outcome of GPOEM, up to 4 years follow up) e. redo GPOEM: Journal of GHR 2020, 21; 9(4): 1-2 (this is the only paper on redo GPOEM) f. How to predict the outcome of GPOEM; J Nucl Med Technol. 2020 Jun;48(2):158-162