

Comment 1: This study presents the main recent topics covered by HILI and DSLI publications. Considering the large number of studies found and the limitations mentioned in the article of a global evaluation of these. I missed a study selection flowchart as well as greater transparency in the selection criteria.

Response: Thank you for your comments regarding our selection criteria. We agree the selection process could be made more clear and we have created a flowchart to reflect those changes in the revised manuscript, included as Figure 1. The selection details were further elaborated in the methods section, on page 3 in paragraph 2.

Comment 2: The idea of associating diverse subjects succinctly did not seem to me a good idea, but I thought it was important for the authors to explore the need for new concepts in the study of DILI due to the great dimension that herbal and food products have reached.

Response: We highlighted the most clinically significant concepts in HILI at the moment. We would like to emphasize that the determination of clinical significance is in our opinion based on the use of journals with impact factors greater than 1, as elaborated on page 3, paragraph 2. By categorizing each topic under different headings (“new accounts of hepatotoxins”, “new accounts of known hepatotoxins”, etc.) we believe we provide a clear method of classifying agents responsible for HILI to help future clinicians and researchers when they come across HILI cases and need to consider causative ingredients. We have also included suggestions for future studies regarding new concepts in HILI, which can be found on page 30 in paragraph 4.