

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67913

Title: Value of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in evaluating response to percutaneous

catheter drainage in patients with acute pancreatitis

Reviewer's code: 05595837 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: CCST, FRCP, MBBS, MRCP

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-20 06:31

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-23 09:51

**Review time:** 3 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with great interest this article titled: Value of Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in evaluating response to percutaneous catheter drainage in patients with acute pancreatitis. The original findings of this manuscript aimed to use the NLR as a clinical predictor of the outcome in patients with NP/INP secondary to acute pancreatitis (AP) which is new hypothesis and may add great deal of clinical value should it be used in the future, however, no strong clinical evidence yet available to support this approach. In this study, the authors have clearly demonstrated the inclusion and exclusion criteria, however, important aspects are missing such as the primary cause of acute pancreatitis as this would play an important role in predicating the outcome and management as well as it will affect the biochemical markers which have been used in this study such as Neutrophile or WBC. To be more specific, patients with acute autoimmune pancreatitis, what medications have then been on before going into NP (steroids, Azathioprine may affect the NLR) also, patients with biliary pancreatitis, have they undergone ERCP?! etc. The study has partially addressed the organ failure OF as well as the use of Antibiotics which are both commonly seen in AP/NP. Patients who required ITU admission or organ support due to secondary infection e.g., pneumonia, cholecystitis or general sepsis, have they been included in the study or not (this has not been adequately addressed, as the use of antibiotics again may alter the NRL as well as WBC which is the core of this study) Although, the study has shed a light on new tool in the clinical practice, yet this can not be used as suggested by the author, however, in the recent future I would expect more clinical studies may confirm the findings of this study with more adequate My recommendations for the authors are as follow: -Need to address assessments. the causes of acute pancreatitis been studied and included in this study. If patients have been on steroids or antibiotics before considering the drains or not and if any patient had



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

undergone any other intervention such as ERCP or mechanical ventilator. -Authors need to address the point of superadded infections and how have they can reassure the readers that the use of NRL would specifically be acceptable to monitor the drain only rather than the ongoing inflammatory response. Overall, they study will add clinical value and new clinical prospective yet will be of limited use if not adequately addressed.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67913

Title: Value of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in evaluating response to percutaneous

catheter drainage in patients with acute pancreatitis

Reviewer's code: 05394309 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-20 02:00

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-01 04:36

Review time: 12 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read and reviewed the manuscript Value of Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in evaluating response to percutaneous catheter drainage in patients with acute pancreatitis with great interest, my findings and conclusion are outlined below. The authors statements regarding the inexpensive and easily obtained WBC are legitimate however the manuscript leaves the reader with the difficult job of clinically correlating the lab findings and the patient outcomes. The baseline characteristics of the study population would benefit from a significant expansion including but not limited to clearly stating the etiology of pancreatitis as well as providing details regarding the subjects baseline health. The treatment protocol states that "All patients were managed initially with fluid resuscitation, pain alleviation, oxygen support, organ system support, and nutritional support (enteral or parenteral) according to standard recommendations" further details regarding patients treatments and perhaps explicitly stating if the patients received anti-inflammatory medications such as steroids for treatment of co-morbid conditions would purify the study results and decrease confounding factors.