

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 68061

Title: Multimodal treatment in oligometastatic gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05400926

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DNB, MBBS, MS

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Switzerland

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-10 18:02

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-11 01:20

Review time: 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written review. Few changes are required. Minor language corrections are required. Spelling mistakes need to be checked. 1. Abstract 4th line spelling of Prognostic to be written as prognosis 2. Introduction 2nd para 4th line metastatis spelling mistake- metastatic. 3. Prefer to spell single digit numbers. 4. Introduction last but 3 rd line- remove words "inferior to" 5. Section 3 rd para- does not seem to affect operative results- Which operative results are being referred to ?specify. 6. REGATTA trial- as authors mentioned the results of first two years- D1 and D2 have no difference in survival rates and DFS for the first 5 years as in the Dutch trial and MRC trial. So, this D1 resection may not been a criticism here. 7. Section 3.1, para 1 mention which FLOT TRIAL- I think it is about FLOT-4. 8. The full form of HIPEC is not used in the first go. 9. HIPEC recommended for PCI>7-quote reference. 10. A not e can be added on how the response to therapies are assessed in neoadjuvant setting.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 68061

Title: Multimodal treatment in oligometastatic gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05402718

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Associate

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Switzerland

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-11 08:24

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-15 08:15

Review time: 3 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the present study, Dr. Chevallay et al. reviewed multimodal treatment in oligometastatic gastric cancer. Although there is some interesting, there are still some comments for the authors. 1. Except the current treatments, other potential treatment options also should be discussed. 2. The combined therapy should be added. 3. The source of Figure 1 should be mentioned. 4. In the Figure 2, the character of Randomi is unclear, Please correct it. 5. A respective schematic diagram for summary the current therapeutic strategies is necessary. I suggest authors add a figure to illustrate it. 6. The current obstruction for treating oligometastatic gastric cancer should be further discussed. 7. The part for future prospects should be added. 8. More new references should be added.