

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page nu	mber (Without Figur	res): <u>26</u> Rate:	6.2 CNY	per page

Editing Fee: 161.2 CNY

Figure count: 3 Figure handling time: 120 min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: 120 CNY

XML and PDF converting time: 57 min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: 57 CNY

Manuscript word count: 7026

Total Editing Fee: 338.2 CNY

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery	
	Manuscript NO.: 68370	
	Column: Retrospective Study	
	Title: Postoperative complications after robotic resection of	
	colorectal cancer: An analysis based on 5-year experience at a	
1	large-scale center	[Y]
	Authors: Zhi-Xiang Huang, Zhen Zhou, Hao-Ran Shi, Tai-Yuan Li	
	and Shan-Ping Ye	
	Reviewer code: 05261333, 03669557, and 04968810	
	First decision: 2021-06-22 18:49	
	Scientific Editor: Jing-Jie Wang (Online Science Editor)	
	Date of signature: 12/5/2021 (month/day/year)	



2

Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Editorial Office's Comments

Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a retrospective study about Incidence and risk factors for postoperative complications after robotic resection of colorectal cancer: an analysis of 1040 cases based on 5-year experience at a large-scale center. The topic is within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Grade B, Grade D and Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: Review2: The true limit of this manuscript is to treat colon and rectal cancer in the same way, today we know that these 2 tumors represent 2 distinct entities. Why insert operations that involved multi-organ removal? Why not insert rectal tumors undergoing neoadjuvant therapy? My invitation is to distinguish colon cancers from rectal cancers in order to make the manuscript more specific and less generic. Review3: In the intro there is an extended background of the incidence and prevalence of CRC, at some point the authors mention that according to the IARC approximately 1.931.600 new cases and 935.200 deaths will occur, but when? or over what period of time? that is not clear. Some of the advantages of the robot described by the authors include seven degrees of freedom, could you please clarify or correct if there is a typo here. Staging of the patients described the use of CT scan and x rays, ultrasound and other investigations, there is no mention whatsoever of colonoscopy, CEA levels nor MRI and/or EUS for rectal cancer patients. There are well known factors for complications in patients with rectal cancer, such as neoadjuvant

[Y]



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

chemoradiation, diabetes, obesity, smoking, none of these were considered as part of the study, can the authors include them and incorportae as part of the analysis or then explain why not please. For the surgical procedures please avoid eponyms such as Dixon or Miles, instead should use generic denominations e.g. low anterior ressection, abdominoperineal excision. Need to define robotic assisted vs totally robotic, this is not clear. In the statistical analysis it is not clear why the authors chose to use significant p values as < 0.1 when typically it is accepted to be <0.05, please use conventional parameters or explain the reasons for this. LOS of 7-8 days is quite extended, however this could be cultural, and is not a major item The authors also reported overall complications of 12% vs 33%, this is high however I think it would be more appropriate to report complications that are CD III or above as they are more relevant. Finally in the conclusion the authors stated robotic surgery is safe and feasible, please avoid this language this is not a phase I or II study with a design for those types of assessment, instead please use terms such as association with. These questions or suggestions raised by the reviewers should be answered or revised; (3) Format: There are 6 tables and 3 figures; (4) References: A total of 47 references are cited, including 20 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There are 0 self-cited references. (6) References recommendations: There were no references recommendations by reviewers. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B, Grade A and Grade A. A language editing



Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

	certificate issued by AJE was provided. 3 Academic norms and	
	rules: The authors provided the signed Conflict-of-Interest	
	Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement, and the	
	Institutional Review Board Approval Form. Written informed	
	consent was waived. No academic misconduct was found in the	
	Google/Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited	
	Manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The	
	topic has not previously been published in the WJG. 5 Issues raised:	
	nothing. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation:	
	Conditional acceptance.	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I recommend the manuscript to be	
	published in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	<u> </u>	



7	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by abbreviated first and middles names. e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors	[Y]
	have read and approve the final manuscript."	
8	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial support and includes the corresponding identification number(s) and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	[N]
9	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD, PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address (including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and contains no spelling errors.	[Y]
10	The Manuscript Tracking information (<i>i.e.</i> , Received, Peer review started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	[Y]
11	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as follows: Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics, Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight: Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words. Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor:	[Y]



	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
13	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	[Y]
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J	
	Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
14	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	F2/ 1
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[Y]
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
15	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	[Y]
	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	
	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e.,	
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	



	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the current study, the information is presented carefully.	
16	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the study.	[Y]
17	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and experimental results. Representative data and data that have scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences. Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	[Y]
18	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed as ${}^aP < 0.05$, ${}^bP < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted). If there are other series of P values, ${}^cP < 0.05$ and ${}^dP < 0.01$ are used, and a third series of P values is expressed as ${}^cP < 0.05$ and ${}^fP < 0.01$. Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.	[Y]



	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
10	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	[Y]
	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	
19	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
20	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	****
20	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	[N]
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
01	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	[Y]
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	
	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
	number should be placed following the name (i.e, "Pang et al"). For	
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
22	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	[Y]
	reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	(e.g., Pan et al ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	



	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	
23	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	[Y]
25	each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name of	[1]
	journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
	follows:	
24	Commentary: no less than 50;	[3/]
24	Review: no less than 100;	[Y]
	Article: no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
25	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[Y]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	
	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	
26	at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	[Y]
	JL).	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (e.g., +, -, \times , \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
27	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	[V]
	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[Y]
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
28	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	[Y]
20	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	



Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
20	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	F3/1
29	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
20	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	F3/1
30	the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoReview; e.g., 870- Review).	
04	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	F2/ 7
31	Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); e.g., 870-Peer-review(s)).	[Y]
	The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoAnswering reviewers; e.g., 870-Answering reviewers).	
	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	
	Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	
	approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	
	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	
	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	
20	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	
32	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	[Y]
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	
	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript	
	NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; e.g.,	[Y]
	870-Copyright license agreement).	
24	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	F3/3
34	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]



Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; e.g., 870-Language certificate).	
25	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	[NI]
35	NoAgreement for use of personal photos; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Agreement for use of personal photos).	[N]
36	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors) has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific editor work list (<i>e.g.</i> , 870-Scientific editor work list).	[Y]
37	A <i>CrossCheck</i> investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript <i>via</i> the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list". The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at 1440 × 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report (<i>e.g.</i> , 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure publication of original content.	[Y]
38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing.	[Y]
Responsibilities of scientific editors	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.	



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Publication process

Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee-Language editing-Production-Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website-Release of online papers on PubMed Central-Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.