Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 68379

Title: Management of Biliary Atresia: to transplant or not to transplant

Reviewer's code: 05466317
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD
Professional title: Doctor
Reviewer's Country/Territory: China
Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-20
Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique
Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-21 01:24
Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-31 01:46
Review time: 10 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, this is a good review of the hot topic regarding the management of biliary atresia. However, the following issues remain to be clarified: 1. Please add more recent references in the last 5 years, especially in the part of "FACTORS FAVORING TRANSPLANT FREE SURVIVAL AFTER KASAI". 2. Please add the cited references in the corresponding annotated text (attachment is the annotated word). 3. Please clarify or specify the unclear statement which annotated (attachment is the annotated word). 4. The relevant factors listed in Table 1 and Table 2 should be described more meaningfully, such as protective factors or risk factors, and please increase the threshold data from the cited literature of various specific factors that may affect the prognosis, such as age limit, bilirubin level, etc. 5. It seems that in this review, there were too many listed conclusions from literature and less of the author's own critical thinking, perspectives, and experiences. The listed cited results were not logically organized. Please reorganize the results and add more of the author's own experiences and perspectives.