

Dear Editors and Reviewer,

Thank you for your letter and for the comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Diagnosis and treatment analysis of primary pulmonary enteric adenocarcinoma: six case reports and a literature review” (ID: 68395). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper. We have studied comments carefully and have made corrections which we hope meet with approval. The responses to the reviewer’s and editorial office’s comments are as follows.

Responds to the reviewer’s and editorial office’s comments:

Reviewer #1

1. Comment: Please organize the information about the six cases into a timeline.

Response: Thanks a lot for the Reviewer’s good comments, according to comments, we have rechecked all information about the six cases, and **Table 1** can be used as timeline to represent most information about cases, we also have made this table more sophisticated.

2. Comment: In the "introduction" part on page 4, there is a grammatical error which has been highlighted in yellow. This sentence lacks verb.

Response: Considering the reviewer’s suggestion, we feel very sorry for our negligence of this part. We have corrected this sentence into “and we analyzed the diagnosis, differential diagnosis and treatment scheme in combination with all associated literature to improve clinician understanding of this disease to identify more effective treatment”.

3. Comment: On page 6, it's better to move the section marked in yellow to the discussion part.

Response: Thanks a lot for pointing out this question in the paper. We have moved the section marked in yellow on page 6 to the discussion part on page 8, and we also have corrected associated information on CARE checklist.

Editorial office’s comments

Science editor

1. Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the diagnosis and treatment analysis of primary pulmonary enteric adenocarcinoma. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This is an interesting and meaningful study. In this paper, 6 cases of primary lung intestinal adenocarcinoma were analyzed, and the diagnosis, treatment and related literature were reviewed. Please organize the information about the six cases into a timeline. In the "introduction" part on page 4, there is a grammatical error which has been highlighted in yellow. This sentence lacks verb. On page 6,

it's better to move the section marked in yellow to the discussion part; (3) Format: There are 5 tables and 2 figures; (4) References: A total of 20 references are cited, no references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There are no self-citations.

Response: Thanks a lot for your comments. We have provided the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list. Meanwhile, we have made point-by-point responses to the issues raised in the peer-review report.

2. Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by AJE was provided. **Academic norms and rules:** The authors provided the CARE Checklist–2016, and the signed informed consent. The authors should provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search.

Response: According to the Editor's comments, we have added the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement.

3. Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC.

Response: Considering the Editor's comment, we rechecked the whole process of this study, and we feel very sorry for our omit of information about financial support. We have added "Supported by a grant from the Medicine and Health Project of Zhejiang Province, China (No. 2018KY049)" on page 1, and we also have uploaded the approved grant application form.

4. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references.

Response: Special thanks to the Editor for spending time on our paper and giving these comments. We have uploaded the original pictures. Meanwhile, we have provided the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and listed all authors of the references, but 3 references are lack of DOI citation numbers.

We tried our best to make changes and improve the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper, and we did not list all changes made here.

Lastly, we deeply appreciate the valuable time Editors/Reviewer spent and hope that the corrections address the concerns raised.