



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 68604

**Title:** Blood test in prediction of deep endometriosis: A case control study

**Reviewer's code:** 05936182

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Thailand

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-06-01

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-06-10 02:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-06-10 03:21

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Firstly, thank you for opportunity to review very interested article. 1. The title reflect the main subject about fibrinogen and haemoglobin for prediction of deep endometriosis but the authors study in varies blood tests EX. C-reactive protein or thrombin time, I suggest the authors revise the title, may be "The blood test in prediction of deep endometriosis: A case-control study " 2. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript. 3. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4. The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study. I suggest the authors to described benefit of blood test prediction of deep endometriosis, that's good than other methods EX. low cost, no radiation harmful or rapid. 5. The manuscript describe methods in adequate detail, study subjects was clear but I suggest the authors explain data gathering (from chart review or interview). 6. The research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study with multiple blood parameters. 7. The manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. 8. Tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents, I suggested label measurement units clearly EX. table 2 s=sec. 9. The manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics. 10. The manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections.