

### Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

## 1. Identifying information.

## 2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

## Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonistin lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

## 4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

### Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

### Definitions.

5.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

**Grant:** A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

**Personal Fees:** Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

**Non-Financial Support:** Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

**Royalties:** Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



Section 1. Identifying Information			
1. Given Name (First Name) Jack	2. Surname (LastName) Sargeant	3. Date 24-January-2022	
4. Are you the corresponding author?	√ Yes No		
5. Manuscript Title Age at diagnosis of type 2 dia	abetes and cardiovascular risk facto	or profile: a pooled analysis	
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you 68605	u know it)		
Section 2. The Work Unde	r Consideration for Publication		
Are there any relevant conflicts of i	nterest?X <sub>Yes</sub> 🗌 No		
nt from Novo Nordisk UK: The L' nvestigator-initiated grant from Nov basis of the current submission.	YDIA trial (which was one of five studie vo Nordisk UK. No funding was provide	es pooled in the current analysis) was funde ed for the current pooled analysis which form	
nt from Novo Nordisk UK: The L nvestigator-initiated grant from Nov basis of the current submission. Section 3. Relevant finance Place a check in the appropriate boxe of compensation) with entities as des clicking the "Add +" box. You should	YDIA trial (which was one of five studie to Nordisk UK. No funding was provide cial activities outside the submitt es in the table to indicate whether you have scribed in the instructions. Use one line for report relationships that were <b>present d</b>	A es pooled in the current analysis) was funde ed for the current pooled analysis which form ed work. refinancial relationships (regardless of amour or each entity; add as many lines as you need b uring the 36 months prior to publication.	
Nestigator-initiated grant from Nov basis of the current submission. Section 3. Place a check in the appropriate boxe of compensation) with entities as des clicking the "Add +" box. You should Are there any relevant conflicts of int nlesh Khunti: KK has acted as con yer, NAPP, Lilly, Merck Sharp and E	YDIA trial (which was one of five studie o Nordisk UK. No funding was provide <b>cial activities outside the submitt</b> es in the table to indicate whether you hav scribed in the instructions. Use one line for report relationships that were <b>present d</b> terest? Yes No nsultant, advisory board member and s Dohme, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Roche a, EACME grants from Boehringer Inge	es pooled in the current analysis) was funde ad for the current pooled analysis which form ed work. efinancial relationships (regardless of amour preach entity; add as many lines as you need b	
Ant from Novo Nordisk UK: The L nvestigator-initiated grant from Nov basis of the current submission. Section 3. Relevant finance Place a check in the appropriate boxe of compensation) with entities as des clicking the "Add +" box. You should Are there any relevant conflicts of int mlesh Khunti: KK has acted as con- ter, NAPP, Lilly, Merck Sharp and D entis, Servier, Boehringer Ingelheim hofi-Aventis, Lilly, Merck Sharp & D anie J Davies: MJD has acted as chringer Ingelheim, an advisory boa icon, Servier and Gilead Sciences poration and Takeda Pharmaceutic	YDIA trial (which was one of five studie vo Nordisk UK. No funding was provide cial activities outside the submitt es in the table to indicate whether you have scribed in the instructions. Use one line for report relationships that were <b>present d</b> terest? Yes No nsultant, advisory board member and so Dohme, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Roche a, EACME grants from Boehringer Inger ohme.	ed for the current pooled analysis) was funde ed for the current pooled analysis which for ed work. efinancial relationships (regardless of amour or each entity; add as many lines as you need b uring the 36 months prior to publication. speaker for Abbott, Amgen, Astrazeneca, e, Berlin-Chemie AG/Menarini Group, Sanof lheim, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Novo Nordisk d speaker for Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Lilly an eca, an advisory board member for Janssen aceuticals, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma	

**Tom Yates:** TY is supported by the NIHR Leicester BRC and have received project funding in the form an investigatorinitiated grant from AstraZeneca.

SAVE



**Emma G Wilmot:** EGW has received personal fees from Abbott Diabetes Care, Dexcom, Eli lilly, Insulet, Medtronic, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi Aventis.

Section 4.	Intellectual Property Patents & Copyrights	
Do you have any	v patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?	X No



## Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

## Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

#### Generate Disclosure Statement

Kamlesh Khunti has acted as consultant, advisory board member and speaker for Abbott, Amgen, Astrazeneca, Bayer, NAPP, Lilly, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Roche, Berlin-Chemie AG/Menarini Group, Sanofi-Aventis, Servier, Boehringer Ingelheim, EACME grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi-Aventis, Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme.

Tom Yates and Jack A Sargeant are supported by the NIHR Leicester BRC and have received project funding in the form an investigator-initiated grant from AstraZeneca.

Emma G Wilmot has received personal fees from Abbott Diabetes Care, Dexcom, Eli lilly, Insulet, Medtronic, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi Aventis.

Melanie J Davies has acted as consultant, advisory board member and speaker for Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Lilly and Boehringer Ingelheim, an advisory board member and speaker for AstraZeneca, an advisory board member for Janssen, Lexicon, Servier and Gilead Sciences Ltd and as a speaker for Napp Pharmaceuticals, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation and Takeda Pharmaceuticals International Inc. She has received grants in support of investigator and investigator initiated trials from Novo Nordisk, Sanofi-Aventis, Lilly, Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca and Janssen.

Mary M Barker, Francesco Zaccardi, Emer M Brady, Gaurav S Gulsin, Andrew P Hall, Joseph Henson, Zin Zin Htike, Gerry P McCann, Emma L Redman, David R Webb and Jian Yeo report no conflicts of interest.

### Evaluati on and Feedback

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.