

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 69121

Title: Real-world local recurrence rate after cold polypectomy in colorectal polyps less than 10 mm using propensity score matching

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05238705

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Postdoc, Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist, Teacher, Technical Editor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-22 03:23

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-30 16:25

Review time: 8 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors used propensity score matching analysis to compare the efficacy of cold polypectomy and hot polypectomy in colorectal polyp patients. The study design was awesome, while there were a small question, that is the why only choose "size, morphology and polypectomy method" into propensity score matching analysis. Is those variables show any statistical difference in the current study or have special clinical significance?