

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69140

Title: Clinical significance of signet ring cells in surgical esophageal and esophagogastric

junction adenocarcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 05475849

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Docotor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ethiopia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-18 04:11

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-18 08:36

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is very important for the thematic area physicians.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69140

Title: Clinical significance of signet ring cells in surgical esophageal and esophagogastric

junction adenocarcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 03865974

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-19 10:27

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-28 12:01

Review time: 9 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

All the specific comments are added to the attached manuscript file. Based on the revisions, I will provide further recommendations



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69140

Title: Clinical significance of signet ring cells in surgical esophageal and esophagogastric

junction adenocarcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 05352593

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Docotor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Colombia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-18 10:08

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-30 01:39

Review time: 11 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for inviting me to read this paper. The authors assessed the association between the presence of signet ring cell (SRC) and prognostic and clinicopathological features in patients with oesophageal and esophagogastric junction adenocarcinoma. All studies included were high-quality by the Newcastle-Ottawa scale. Also, they reported important findings, such as an association between SRC and patients with oesophageal adenocarcinoma, TNM stage III/IV, poor overall survival, and poor disease-specific survival. I have some comments: 1. Abstract: a. Aim: The authors must provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed regarding participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). Results: please, the I2 must be included in this section. 2. Introduction a. In this section, also, the authors must provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to PICOS. b. The authors must describe the differences between their aim and the findings of other meta-analyses published. This would add value to their study. 3. Results: a. Some findings have high heterogeneity, such as overall survival (I2=85.7) and TNM stage III/IV EEGJA patients(I2=73.1). these must be assessed by meta-regression. 4. Methods: a. The authors must indicate if a review protocol exists. Also, they must state that the PRISMA guideline was performed. b. The inclusion criteria must be described before Literature retrieval. c. The type of studies included must be described. d. The authors must be stated the process for selecting studies in a separated section. e. The authors must clarify the method of data extraction from reports. They must describe who performed data extraction as described in the Quality assessment. f. A brief description of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale is needed. g. The authors must describe which outcomes were measured. Some variables were not described, such as overall survival, disease-free survival, disease-specific survival. Also, these must be described. 5.



Discussion: a. The authors must describe the differences between their meta-analysis and another meta-analysis published. This would add value to their study.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69140

Title: Clinical significance of signet ring cell in surgical esophageal and esophagogastric

junction adenocarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 05352593

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Docotor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Colombia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-18

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-07 12:35

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-09 00:57

Review time: 1 Day and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



I am satisfied with the edited manuscript and the response to the reviewer's comments. I have a minor comment: This statement needs a reference "This systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines"