

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 69186

Title: Surgery for Cronkhite-Canada syndrome complicated with intussusception: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03767446

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Tunisia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-20 18:38

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-28 14:27

Review time: 7 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. ok 2 Abstract. the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript but conclusion is not adapted 3 Key words. ok case presentation very interesting but very long. please synthesize more precision about surgery and post operative outcome wath about genetic exam? 7 Discussion. informative and correctly written 8 Illustrations and tables. Very good illustration 9 11 References ok