

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: This study investigated the outcomes, safety and potential benefits of Paricalcitol Injection in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. The study is interesting, and well designed. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are reasonable. A minor revision is required. 1. A minor language editing is required. Some minor misspellings should be corrected. 2. Please change the Chinese words in the figures. And the red color of the lines of the figures should be changed. 3. Tables should be moved to end of the text. 4. References should be edited and updated.

Response: 1. The language has been edited accordingly. 2. I have changed the Chinese words in the figures and the red color. 3. The Tables have been removed the end of the text. 4. References have been edited and updated.

(1) Science editor:

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a clinical trials study of the paricalcitol in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism and its potential benefits. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The study is interesting, and well designed. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are reasonable. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There are 3 tables and 4 figures; (4) References: A total of 18 references are cited, including 6 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by MedE was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the Institutional Review Board Approval Form, and the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by Anhui University Natural Science Research Project 2019, Scientific Research Platform of Huainan Science and Technology Bureau. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s).

Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (3) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (4) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and (5) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Response: (1) I have added “Author Contributions”; (2) I have added the approved grant application form(s); (3) I have provided original pictures. (4) I have provided the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list except those not collected by PubMed and listed all authors of the references. (5) I have added “Article Highlights” section.