

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 69416

Title: Analogies between medusa and single port surgery in gastroenterology and hepatology: A review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05907930

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Academic Research

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Argentina

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-09 22:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-09 23:15

Review time: 1 Hour

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Excellent [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Very good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: Good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Fair [<input type="radio"/>] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Priority publishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Minor language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Accept (High priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Accept (General priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Minor revision [<input type="radio"/>] Major revision [<input type="radio"/>] Rejection |
| Re-review | [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Yes [<input type="radio"/>] No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No |
|-------------------------------------|---|

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author presents a very interesting and relevant topic in these times. Honestly, the work is very well developed. I suggest priority for its publication, since it provides a new vision for the surgical community.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 69416

Title: Analogies between medusa and single port surgery in gastroenterology and hepatology: A review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05947873

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MM

Professional title: Academic Research, Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-06 00:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-10 07:05

Review time: 4 Days and 6 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No |
|-------------------------------------|---|

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript titled “Analogies between Medusa and Single Port Surgery” is a well-written review. But there are some concerns need to be addressed in the present version. 1. Please rephrase the title to show the study design (e.g., “A review”), and add keywords and statement on conflict of interest. 2. Please add citations into “introduction” and other sections to support your words (e.g., first few paragraphs, “From 2008, delighted by the first scientific reports”, “A low rate of less than 1%”, etc.). 3. Please revise the format of the inverted comma (e.g., under someone’s aegis, Athena’s, single port laparoscopy in Figure 1, 10mm). 4. “SPS” in the sentence “...emphasize several parallels between single-port surgery (SPS)...” has occurred in the first paragraph. 5. Please give the full name of SIL, RCTs, taTME, eTEP, etc. 6. Please revise the number and format of citations to comply with the BPG format. 7. Please report the limits and data for the search for “single port laparoscopy”. In particular, a search without restriction may include “conference abstracts”, “corrections”, and “retractions”. 8. Please add a full stop for the legend of the Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively. 9. Please report the statistical method for Mean procedural times. 10. Please prettify the Table 1 and add the specific surgical methods in line of “Other procedures”.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 69416

Title: Analogies between medusa and single port surgery in gastroenterology and hepatology: A review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05821532

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Senior Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-06 08:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-11 07:17

Review time: 4 Days and 22 Hours

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No |
|-------------------------------------|---|

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

see the attachment