

Dear Editors,

We extend our appreciation for sharing the following comments regarding our manuscript entitled "Improving Sessile Serrated Adenoma Detection Rates with High Definition Colonoscopy: A Retrospective Study" (69676).

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Thanks to the authors for this well-organised study. There are two major limitations of the study; First, retrospectively designed, Second, there are 11 different endoscopists in the study. Endoscopists are likely to have different skill levels. I think that those weaken the impact of the study.

These limitations were originally addressed; however, we have emphasized these limitations within the discussion section in the paragraph regarding the limitations of our study with the following:

"A main limitation is the retrospective design of the study. In addition, while the longitudinal nature of the study permitted a relatively large number of colonoscopies to be included in our analysis, the four-year period allowed for changing skill level of endoscopists over time."

We hope that these edits allow for our manuscript to be acceptable for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

Sincerely,
Abhinav Sehgal