

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "*Huge gastric plexiform fibromyxoma presenting as pyemia by rupture of tumor: A case report*" (ID: 69801). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made corrections which we hope meet with approval. Revised portions are marked in red in the paper which has been uploaded to the file destination of "Supplementary Material". The main corrections in the paper and the responses to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

1. Response to comment: I would like to know which one of the authors performed pathological evaluation that is still the only option for correct diagnosis? This should be indicated in the author's contribution section.

Response: co-author Xia LG and the pathologists in our hospital came to the pathological diagnosis after thorough discussion. Due to the rarity of this disease, the pathology report was also provided for consultation by another tertiary hospital (The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University) reviewed, ultimately, the same opinion was given. Therefore, we believe that PF is a correct pathological diagnosis.

2. Response to comment: Figure 4 should be revised because low-power microscopic figures are not enough to provide information about the histopathological findings of the lesion. Figures with higher magnification are recommended.

Response: We have re-provided images with higher magnification, from the original 100x to 200x. These images have been uploaded to the file destination of “Image File” .

Thank you very much for giving us an opportunity to revise our manuscript, we appreciate editors and reviewers for their positive and constructive comments.

Best regards,

Rui Zhang