

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69805

Title: Ovarian teratoma related anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis: A case series and review of the literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03117998

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-12 13:48

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-15 16:42

Review time: 3 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, Thank you for a nice work done on the ovarian teratoma related anti-NMDAR encephalitis. The method and the results described are relevant and needed for a detailed review of the issue. My concerns are that article is little lengthy and can be shorted. Typically the introduction should be limited to 300-350 words describing the main problem and the hypothesis or main area of review to be done. The discussion is also a bit lengthy and can be shortened. A consort flow diagram of search strategies, article searched, excluded and reason of exclusion should be mentioned. Please mention the full form of all abbreviation in the main manuscript when they appear first.