



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70103

Title: Mortality in patients with COVID-19 requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: a meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05393454

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-27 04:01

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-30 07:22

Review time: 3 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. There are few grammatical and typographical errors are noted in the manuscript and it should be carried throughout the manuscript. For example, the words “on examine” may be as “on examining”; “Total 1,821” as “A total of 1,821”; “design,” as “designs,”; “time,”. 2. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale - NOS). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript. 3. In the introduction, the authors may be given the recent updates since the authors given the data up to December 2020 only. 4. The introduction part is presented in very brief and still there is a big gap in between the current study and existing literature. Authors are therefore suggested to expand the literature search to include more recent and relevant literature in the introduction section. 5. The term “in vitro” should be italic which is used in the inclusion and exclusion criteria in the materials and methods. 6. The figure legends should be improved and a proper footnote should be given. All legends should have enough description for a reader to understand the figure without having to refer back o the main text of the manuscript. The authors should provide more information in the figure legends for non-experts.