

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70670

**Title:** Sodium nitroprusside injection immediately before balloon inflation for the prevention of no reflow or slow flow during percutaneous coronary intervention

Reviewer's code: 06129102

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Poland

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-15 16:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-28 22:22

Review time: 13 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this retrospective study, the authors discussed the effectiveness of coronary injection with nitroprusside, a commonly used vasodilator, as a pharmacological intervention strategy administered before balloon inflation for the prevention of no reflow or slow flow during percutaneous coronary intervention. The results showed preventive use of sodium nitroprusside proved beneficial. The methods are clearly described, and the results are very interesting. The reviewer suggests to accept it after a minor revision. 1. Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2. The title is too long, please short it. 3. A conclusion should be added. 4. The references should be edited according to the journal's guideline.