List of Responses

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled

"**Diagnostic Accuracy of ≥16-slice SCT for Local Colon Cancer Staging: A Systematic Review and the Meta-Analysis**" (After modification: **Diagnostic Accuracy of ≥16-slice spiral computer tomographySCT for Local Colon Cancer Staging: A Systematic Review and the Meta-Analysis**) (ID: 70922). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are marked in red in the paper. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

1. Response to comment:

This is a well-written meta-analysis, best one I reviewed in the past one month. Glad to read one that does not give me headache. This one should be accepted.

Response:

Thank you very much for review's positive comments.

We gratefully thanks for the precious time the reviewer spent making constructive remarks.

Reviewer #2:

1. Response to comment:

Please check grammar points again: "unconspicuous " -- inconspicuous

Response:

We are very sorry for this error and have corrected it in the article (line 102 of page 5).

2. Response to comment:

Please include an example of CT image as a gold standard example you recommend to use in the diagnostic application.

Response:

Thank you for this very insightful comment. You can refer to our included literature: Accuracy of CT colonography in the preoperative staging of colon cancer: a prospective study of 217 patients [PMID: 31161677 DOI: 10.1111/codi.14724], which contains example images.

Science editor:

1. Response to comment:

The manuscript elaborated a case of systematic reviewing of the diagnostic Accuracy of ≥16-slice SCT for local colon cancer Staging. The manuscript is well written and can be helpful for the readers to ameliorate the diagnostic and therapeutic approach for this scenario. The authors add a publication bias and sensitivity analysis.

Response:

Thank you very much for review's positive comments.

1. Response to comment:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...". Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response:

Thanks for your suggestion. We have revised the tables and figures according to the requirements.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These

changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. And here we did not list the changes but marked in red in revised paper.

We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.