

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 70954

**Title:** Feasibility of therapeutic endoscopic ultrasound in the bridge-to-surgery scenario:  
The example of pancreatic adenocarcinoma

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03076942

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Associate Chief Physician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-08-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-08-25 06:27

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-08-25 06:42

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a review of available evidence regarding EUS-guided Choledocho-Duodenostomy, Gastro-jejunostomy and Gallbladder Drainage in the bridge-to-surgery scenario of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Although there are few references so far, the author still feels very detailed. These experiences are helpful for the treatment of pancreatic cancer. The article is written carefully and the language is fluent. I suggest accepting this article.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 70954

**Title:** Feasibility of therapeutic endoscopic ultrasound in the bridge-to-surgery scenario:  
The example of pancreatic adenocarcinoma

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05667363

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-08-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-08-24 10:44

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-08-30 04:03

**Review time:** 5 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this opinion review, the authors clearly explain the usefulness of therapeutic EUS (t-EUS), citing previous papers with examples from their own experience. The authors report that although there are few previous papers comparing t-EUS with existing techniques, there are no problems with its usefulness and safety, and it can be performed without any issues when performing surgery. This opinion review is helpful because it describes the usefulness of t-EUS in a concise and easy-to-understand manner. There are, however, some minor revisions to address: For the ultrasonogram and fluoroscopic images in Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3, it would be easier to understand if you add arrows or arrowheads in the figures and describe the anatomical location.