

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71244

Title: Primary duodenal dedifferentiated liposarcoma: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05913135

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, MA, MD

Professional title: Deputy Director, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-02 08:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-02 09:47

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case report of a rare gastrointestinal tumor describing diagnostic considerations and pitfalls. The aim is educational, as it is with most case reports. The report is well written, the discussion is extensive and conclusive, references are all there. CARE-criteria are met. The authors are to be applauded for that. Abstract, key words and core tip are very short but include necessary information. Th observation of the CARE checklist could be added and referenced. The case presentation is very short, but includes the relevant details. The fatal postoperative peritonitis is probably due to anastomotic insufficiency and not to preexisting inflammatory reactions, but that is left open to interpretation. Some more information about the cause of peritonitis could be added, but that would be just "nice to have". Discussion is interesting, detailed, thorough and well readable. No criticism here. The conclusion winds it all up and is consistent with the abstract and the conclusion. The report does not need much revising and could be published straight away, in my opinion.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71244

Title: Primary duodenal dedifferentiated liposarcoma: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02729415

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-04 07:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-04 07:13

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An interesting case



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71244

Title: Primary duodenal dedifferentiated liposarcoma: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04721358

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-01 09:07

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-09 11:23

Review time: 8 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a case report of a very rare pathology: the case is interesting because it is uncommon. More detailed informations about intraoperative and postoperative course should be added.