

Dear Editors,

Thanks a lot for the suggestions on my article titled: **Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells as adjuvant therapy in COVID-19 associated acute lung injury and cytokine storm: importance of cell identification**

I have revised the article according to suggestions of reviewers:

Suggestions	Revisions
<p>Reviewer 1:</p> <p>Errors :</p> <p>Abstract : needed to get a robust(inappropriate for this scientific context) prove (proof) of MSC beneficial effects.</p> <p>Keywords : ADRS (ARDS you mean?)</p> <p>Core tip: Therefor (therefore) more robust prove (as above) are needed</p> <p>Letter: 1st paragraph - with cytokine storm and pulmonary damage (“there is an urgency of developing novel therapeutic techniques in dealing with cytokine storm and pulmonary damage, especially the recently reported mesenchymal stem/stromal cell (MSC)-based cytotherapy [reference number 10 and 11]”).</p> <p>Necessity to copy paste this statement? - inappropriate style of referencing (e.g. ref 85 etc) suggest to use author names etc.</p> <p>3rd paragraph - A study showed that ACE2 expression was significantly higher in adipose tissue and bone marrow derived (derived what?) compared to UC or placenta derived MSCs</p> <p>language editor is recommended in view of multiple sentence structure error. attached certificate is a certificate of presentation at a talk as speaker.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer-1, thanks a lot for the very valuable suggestions. I am very sorry for the inconvenience due to the many typos errors in language.</p> <p>I have corrected the typos in Abstract, Keywords, and core tip.</p> <p>Letter:</p> <p>1st paragraph:</p> <p>I omitted the copy paste statement, so that inappropriate style of referencing was also omitted.</p> <p>3rd paragraph:</p> <p>I added MSCs to make the sentence clear.</p> <p>For the language, I have asked a friend from our University Medical Journal to help check for errors.</p> <p>Revisions were made on ‘Track changes’ mode, so that the revisions can be seen.</p>
<p>Reviewer 2:</p> <p>Dear author Thank you for this beautiful remarks You only need to remove "However, reference number 11 is about outcomes of hydroxychloroquine in COVID19 [3].", since authors have possibly cited this paper</p>	<p>Dear reviewer-2, thanks a lot for the very valuable suggestion. I agree with you, so I removed the sentence.</p>

<p>pertaining to this sentence fragment "Considering the serious side effects and contradictory efficacy of different drugs such as glucocorticoid and hydroxychloroquine..." Otherwise, very well written. Thanks</p>	
<p>Reviewer 3: I think this correspondence is appropriate for publication based on its scientific merit, although some changes in wording should be made.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer-2, thanks a lot for your support.</p> <p>For the language, I have asked a friend from our University Medical Journal to help check for errors.</p>