Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Primary isolated central nervous system acute lymphoblastic leukemia with BCR-ABL1 rearrangement: A case report" (ID: 72032). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are marked in red in the paper. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Reviewer #1:

1. Responds to the reviewer's comments: A concise, comprehensive, easy to read and well structured case report. There are no grammatical or spelling errors throughtout the text. I think this paper is welcome and relevant for medical daily practice. Thank you.

Response: We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. Special thanks to you for your good comments.

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Primary isolated central nervous system acute lymphoblastic leukemia with BCR-ABL1 rearrangement: A case report" (ID: 72032). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are marked in red in the paper. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Reviewer #2:

1.Responds to the reviewer's comments: It is better to mention some other word rather than "First reported case" as there is possibility that such cases had been published earlier but we could not find such as gray literatures which we may not be easy access.

Response: "This is the first reported case of primary isolated CNS ALL with BCR-ABL1 rearrangement" in Abstract-Conclusion was deleted.

2.Responds to the reviewer's comments: It is better to arrange the keywords alphabetically.

Response: We have arranged the keywords alphabetically.

3.Responds to the reviewer's comments: Physical examination: it is better to quantify the motor power as per standard motor power grading system. Was there any signs of meningeal irritation or papilledema? Please mention important negative findings too.

Response: We have re-written this part according to the suggestion.

4.Responds to the reviewer's comments: Management: please mention the duration of therapy (including for first high dose methotrexate and cytarabine).

Rather than mentioning "several courses of intrathecal chemotherapy" it is better to mention the number of cycles she actually received.

Response: We have mentioned the duration of therapy and showed clearly the specific time and dosage of high dose methotrexate and cytarabine.

5.Responds to the reviewer's comments: Follow up: please mention the last date of follow up .Provide year and month for information of her survival rather then mentioning that she is alive till date. She may not be alive when the paper is published.

Response: We have provided the year and month for information of her survival.

6.Responds to the reviewer's comments: Reference: Ref. 15 has year of publication just after author name and rest of the other references have other formats.

Response: We have fellow the same format as led down by the publisher.

7. Responds to the reviewer's comments: Please make sure that table/figures are cross-referenced in the text too. Also, make proper placement of table caption(caption above the table)

Response: We have cited table/figures clearly in the appropriate section of the main text.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.