Dear Editor:

(Manuscript number: 72054)

We would like to thank you for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript. We have carefully taken the reviewer's comments into consideration in preparing our revision, which resulted in a paper that is clearer and more rigorous. Please see our point-by-point responses to the reviewer's comments below.

Reviewer #1

I saw a few drafting details. My comments are in the archive too.

Thank you for the suggestion and correction. We have corrected the drafting details and mistakes.

Title: I suggest not using abbreviations in the title.

As suggested by the reviewer, "NF2" in the title was corrected to "neurofibromatosis type 2".

Case presentation, paragraph 2: "Sounds like results"

According to the reviewer's suggestion, we have adjusted the location of this paragraph in the manuscript. Please see OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP.

Discussion: Sounds repetitive. I suggest use other words if it is necessary to write.

As suggested by the reviewer, we deleted the repetitive part in Discussion.

We have reviewed the manuscript carefully according the comments of the reviewer and modified the details of tense, grammar and abbreviation.

Reviewer #2

Well written and elaborated case series.

Thank you for your careful review and kind comments.

Science editor

Case 3: euthyrox should be changed to LT4.

According to the editor's suggestion, we have changed "euthyrox" to "LT4".

Thanks for the careful review and we are looking forward to your reply.

Best wishes.

Dr. Sheng Han